
# 1996-97 Louisiana Progress Profiles District Composite Report

## **Iberville Parish**

Published March 1998

Prepared by the Louisiana Department of Education Office of Management and Finance Division of Planning, Analysis, and Information Resources



#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**



## Louisiana Department of Education

P.O. Box 94064 Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9064

## **Board of Elementary and Secondary Education**

Clifford Baker

Dr. John A. Bertrand

Glenny Lee Buquet

Donna Contois

Gerald Dill

Leslie Jacobs

Keith Johnson

Walter Lee

Dr. Richard Musemeche

Paul G. Pastorek

Dr. James Stafford

Weegie Peabody, Executive Director

Cecil J. Picard

State Superintendent of Education

Introduction	i
Part 1. District Summary Parish Socioeconomic And Demographic Overview District Financial Overview	
Part 2. School characteristics Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher Class Size Characteristics	
Part 3. Student Participation Student Attendance	3-7
Part 4. Student Achievement Criterion-referenced Test (CRT) Results Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results	
Part 5. College Readiness American College Test (ACT) Results First-Time Freshman Performance	
Glossary	

### **KEY TO TABLES**

Table 1: Schools in Iberville Parish	2-1
Table 2: Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher	2-4
Table 3a: Class Size Characteristics - Elementary Schools	2-7
Table 3b: Class Size Characteristics - Middle/Jr. High Schools	2-9
Table 3c: Class Size Characteristics - High Schools	2-10
Table 3d: Class Size Characteristics - Combination Schools	2-11
Table 4a: Percent of Student Attendance - Elementary Schools	3-3
Table 4b: Percent of Student Attendance - Middle/Jr. High Schools	3-4
Table 4c: Percent of Student Attendance - High Schools	3-5
Table 4d: Percent of Student Attendance - Combination Schools	3-6
Table 5: Student Dropouts	3-9
Table 6a: Students Suspended and Expelled - Elementary Schools	3-13
Table 6b: Students Suspended and Expelled - Middle/Jr. High Schools	3-15
Table 6c: Students Suspended and Expelled - High Schools	3-16
Table 6d: Students Suspended and Expelled - Combination Schools	3-17
Table 7a: Criterion-referenced Test (LEAP) Results - Grade 3	4-2
Table 7b: Criterion-referenced Test (LEAP) Results - Grade 5	4-3
Table 7c: Criterion-referenced Test (LEAP) Results - Grade 7	4-4
Table 7d: Graduate Exit Examination (GEE) Results	4-5
Table 8a: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 4	4-8
Table 8b: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 6	4-10
Table 8c: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 8	4-12
Table 9: American College Test (ACT) Results	5-2
Table 10: First-time College Freshmen Performance.	5-5

The passage of the Children First Act in 1988 ushered in a new generation of analysis and research about the overall quality and condition of education in Louisiana. As the national reform of education continues to evolve, Louisiana is steadfast in its commitment to quality education and school accountability.

Progress Profiles (School Report Cards, District Composite Reports, and the State Report) provide information about schools to parents and the general public, provide a basis for educational planning, and increase educational accountability at all levels. By providing policy makers, parents, and other interested citizens valuable information on the inputs, processes, and outcomes of public education, these documents also offer a valuable resource for advancing school improvement.

The Progress Profiles Program, which is administered by the Louisiana Department of Education (LDE), Office of Management and Finance, Division of Planning, Analysis and Information Resources, was founded on the premise that educational improvement is most successful when parents, school staff, and policy makers have access to accurate information on a wide range of factors believed to influence student learning. The indicators included in the *Progress Profiles* were carefully selected because they:

- have been demonstrated through school effectiveness research to be related to student learning;
- represent key features of schooling that can be influenced by parents, school staff, and policy makers, and thus are useful for school improvement purposes; and
- yield the maximum amount of accurate and essential information possible without posing undue reporting burdens at either the school or district level.

To offer the most comprehensive overview possible and serve the specific needs of varied audiences, three levels of reporting are provided.

- 1. *School Report Cards* are tailored to the needs of parents and the general public. For 1996-97, *Report Cards* were produced for **1,413 of 1,447** public elementary, middle/junior high, high, and combination schools statewide.
- 2. District Composite Reports are produced for all 66 Louisiana public school districts. The most detailed and comprehensive of the three levels of reporting, these reports offer local and state-level policy makers longitudinal data.
- 3. The *Louisiana Progress Profiles State Report* is best suited to the needs of the general reader because it provides a succinct overview of the major characteristics of Louisiana education based on *School Report Card* findings.

"Any effort to improve schools must be designed to meet the goal of creating an active, thinking curriculum in specific disciplines, and success should be judged by whether increasing numbers of students reach agreed-upon performance standards."

—Bill Honig

Phi Delta Kappan, June 1994

#### Purpose of the District Composite Report

The purpose of the *District Composite Report* is to provide information relevant to the condition of education in Louisiana. This report provides detailed longitudinal information on various indicators as well as analysis of data where feasible. It serves as an effective tool to aid policy makers and district administrators in identifying opportunities for school improvement.

#### **Organization of This Report**

The summary tables following this introduction offer district-level information for all indicators. In addition to quick-reference tables at the front of this report, district socioeconomic and demographic data are provided to aid readers. Financial information is included to give a more complete picture of Louisiana school districts.

The remainder of the report is organized into five parts, each encompassing a series of related educational indicators.

- Part 1. District Summary. School performance is influenced by community socioeconomic characteristics and by the level of local financial support for public education. Part I therefore presents parish (as opposed to district) demographic and socioeconomic indicators ranging from household income distribution and teen pregnancy rate to district revenue, expenditures, and average teacher salaries. District summary tables of all *Profile* indicators also are provided in Part 1.
- Part 2. School Characteristics. The context within which students are educated and the level of educational resources available to them impact learning. Part II focuses on key educational "inputs" and resources at the school level, i.e., the size of the student body and faculty, the school's category (e.g., elementary schools, middle schools, etc.), class sizes, and the academic preparation of faculty.
- Part 3. Student Participation. For students to receive an education, they must first have the opportunity to learn; thus, the extent to which students are present and actively engaged in schooling is of vital importance (Oakes, 1989). Part 3 presents

- three indicators that provide some measure of student participation: attendance, suspensions/expulsions, and dropouts.
- Part 4. Student Achievement. Part 4 reports two commonly cited school-level outputs: student performance on 1) criterion-referenced tests (CRTs), which measure student performance on state-prescribed curricula, and 2) norm-referenced tests (NRTs), which indicate how Louisiana students compare with other students nationally. The CRT results reported on the Report Cards are based on student performance on Louisiana Educational Assessment Program (LEAP) tests administered at the third, fifth, and seventh grade levels and on the Graduation Exit Examination (GEE), which is administered in grades 10 and 11. The NRT results, which are also part of LEAP, reflect student performance in grades 4, 6, and 8 on the California Achievement Test (CAT/5).
- Part 5. College Readiness. One goal of elementary-secondary schooling is to ensure that those students seeking an advanced education are adequately prepared for college. The School Report Cards present two indicators of college readiness:

  1) student performance on the American College Test (ACT), a national test commonly used for college placement purposes, and 2) the percentage of high school graduates who take remedial courses as first-time college freshmen.

Each indicator presented in this report is introduced by a brief narrative, organized as follows:

- an introduction to the indicator and its significance in the study and/or promotion of student learning;
- a description of how data are organized in the accompanying table(s);
- a description of how data appear in the School Report Cards:
- definitions of key terms, where applicable;
- formulas/equations used to calculate statistics, where applicable; and

• the source(s) of the data presented.

A glossary at the end of this report provides operational definitions for key terms.

#### **School Categorization**

The mission, organizational structure, and outcomes of schooling vary depending on the level of instruction (i.e., elementary, middle, etc.) (Levine & Lezotte, 1990). In recognition of this, the Profiles Program began in 1993-94 to group schools into four reporting categories based on level of schooling: elementary, middle/junior high, high, and combination (i.e., K-12).

Category comparison statistics are presented by district and for the state as a whole for those indicators that are not reported by grade level; these include class size, attendance, suspension, and expulsion. This homogeneous grouping of schools by level of instruction fosters probably the fairest comparisons; however, district- and state-wide comparison statistics also are provided.

<u>Note:</u> Category comparison statistics are provided for only those indicators that are reported at the school level. There are no category statistics for the testing and dropout indicators, which are reported by grade level and therefore have even greater comparability than those performance data that are reported by category.

All schools receiving 1996-97 School Report Cards are placed into one of four categories:

- *elementary*—any school whose grade structure falls within the range PK-8 and excludes grades 9-12.
- *middle/junior high*—any school whose grade structure falls within the range 4-9, includes grades 7 or 8, and excludes grades PK-3 and 10-12.

- *high*—any school whose grade structure falls within the range 6-12 and includes grades 10-12, or any school that contains only grade 9.
- *combination*—any school whose grade structure falls within the range PK-12 and is not described by any of the above definitions. These schools generally contain some grades in the K-6 range and some grades in the 9-12 range. Examples would include grade structures such as K-12; K-3, 9-12; and 4-6, 9-12.

If a school has been re-categorized due to a change in grade structure, that school's longitudinal data will appear in more than one category. For example, if Central High School had grades 9-12 from 1990-91 through 1992-93, its longitudinal data for those years would appear in the high school category. If Central High School became a K-12 school in 1993-94, its data in 1993-94 and thereafter would appear in the combination school category.

As a convenience to readers, the data tables that are organized by category are cross-referenced. In the above example, the high school category data would refer readers interested in Central High's longitudinal performance to the combination category data and vice versa.

## **Demographic Indicators Associated With Educational Attainment**

Research has shown that demographic and socioeconomic variables affect student achievement. An analysis of the background characteristics of the student population places the school performance indicators in their broader context and helps shed light on the degree of difficulty that certain school districts or states experience in educating their particular student populations. In other words, inclusion of the demographic indicators in Part 1 provides a context for interpretation of the outcomes.

The *District Composite Report* presents the following socioeconomic and demographic information at the parish (not district), state and national levels:

- education attainment,
- labor force breakdown,
- household income distribution.
- population by race,
- single parent households,
- all persons living below the poverty level, and
- teen pregnancy rate.

The data are supplied by the U.S. Bureau of the Census and the Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals.

#### **District Financial Overview**

There are many factors which contribute to the overall profile of a school district. Financial information is one of the vital factors which are part of that profile. Inclusion of this information in Part 1 helps the reader understand how a public school district functions, and it provides additional context for the interpretation of educational indicators.

## **Longitudinal Analysis: Tracking School Progress Over Time**

By law, the Progress Profiles Program is required to present six years of data (the current year and the five previous years.) These longitudinal school-level data are presented in the *District Composite Report*. Each year, the *Composite Reports* are updated by adding the most current year's data and deleting the data that are more than six years old. The *School Report Cards* and *Progress Profiles State Report*, on the other hand, present only the most current year of data so that parents and policy makers who want a very concise and current snapshot of education performance need not wade through voluminous amounts of information.

Incorporating longitudinal data in the *District Composite Report* enables policy makers to anticipate changes in educational outcomes, not just describe them (Smith, 1988). However, longitudinal reporting does complicate the presentation of data. To assist policy

makers in interpreting data, tables in the *District Composite Report* have been formatted as follows:

- 1. Cross-sectional data (i.e., for any given year) are presented vertically in columns. School-to-school comparisons can be made within any given year by scanning up and down columns.
- 2. Longitudinal data are presented horizontally in rows. An individual school's progress on any single variable can be charted over time by scanning left-to-right across columns.
- 3. Schools are listed in *sequential order*, based on school site code and school category.
- 4. The 1995-96 and 1996-97 data are shaded as a reminder that 1995-96 was the first year that *Progress Profiles* data were extracted from the Student Information System (SIS), establishing a new baseline year for *Profiles* reporting purposes. Comparisons between the 1995-96 and subsequent year to previous years' data are strongly discouraged (see box on next page).

All longitudinal tracking of individual schools should be conducted with caution. Because schools, like other organizations, are constantly evolving, a school's name and/or grade configuration may change over time. Such changes may or may not signal a major change in the character of the school.

To facilitate longitudinal tracking of individual schools, the six-digit site codes that the LDE assigns to all public schools have been included in all tables. Barring a major change in grade structure at a school, these site codes remain constant over time and therefore are much more reliable identifiers than the school name itself.

In some instances, longitudinal data on a specific indicator are not available for every site due to school openings, closings, and/or reorganizations. Occasionally, an entire indicator may be added. For example, first-time college freshmen data were added to the *Report Cards* in 1992-93. In instances such as these, the tilde symbol (~) represents "unavailable data."

Data sometimes are not strictly comparable from one year to the next due to changes in reporting periods and data sources or to revisions in indicator definitions. In these instances, the data are footnoted to alert the reader to use caution in drawing longitudinal comparisons.

## 1995-96 Was A New Baseline Year Comparisons With Prior Years Data Are Strongly Discouraged

Special caution is urged in comparing 1995-96 and 1996-97 performance information to data from prior years because the Progress Profiles Program underwent two major changes in 1995.

- 1. All 1995-96 and 1996-97 Student Participation data (i.e., attendance, suspensions/expulsions, and dropouts), some School Characteristics data (i.e., October 1 membership), and some College Readiness data (i.e., graduates) were taken from the student-level Student Information System (SIS). The SIS data are much more detailed and more precisely defined than the aggregated data collected in prior years. They are not comparable, however, to the school summary data reported prior to 1995-96.
- All Student Participation indicators and most Achievement Indicators (i.e., norm- and criterionreferenced test results) have been expanded to include both regular and special education students. In previous years, these indicators were limited to regular education students.

As a special caution to readers, the columns of 1995-96 and 1996-97 data are shaded. Data from prior years are presented only for the convenience of readers whose information needs are more historical than comparative.

#### The Challenge: Accurate and Reliable Reporting

Measurement is a process involving both theoretical as well as empirical considerations. Most assuredly, research based on the inadequate measurement of indicators does not result in a greater understanding of the particular indicator (Carmines and Zeller, 1979). Though it is widely recognized that the best educational policy is made when officials have access to accurate information, the use of inaccurate or unreliable data is more dangerous than no information at all. Recognizing this, every effort has been made to ensure the reliability and validity of the data reported on the *Progress Profiles*. Toward that end, LDE and district staff examine each indicator through a meticulous data correction and verification process.

The Progress Profiles Program has grown substantially over the past six years. The LDE has executed an elaborate process for data verification and analysis to ensure that quality is an intrinsic part of each *Progress Profiles* report.

#### **Satisfying the Need for Analysis**

Though all states have some form of educational performance indicator system, the criticism is often heard that too few do anything with the data. "The missing ingredient in most education indicator systems is analysis," notes Allen Odden. "Analysis is critical; it makes sense of the data, explores relationships among the inputs, processes, and outputs of the educational system, and makes policy recommendations for change" (Odden, 1990).

In keeping with national trends toward supplementing educational indicator systems with policy-relevant analysis, LDE staff research the relationships among various *Report Card* indicators and explore their utility as predictors of student performance. The results of some analyses are presented in shaded boxes accompanying the narrative introduction to each indicator.

#### References

- Carmines, E. G. and Zeller, R. A. (1979). Reliability and validity assessment. *Series: Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences*, 7 (017), 11,15.
- Children First Act of 1988. La. RS.17:3911-3912, Louisiana Revised Statutes.
- Franklin, B. J. and Crone, L. J. (1993, April). *Louisiana Progress Profiles*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta, Ga.
- Honig, B. (1994, June). How can Horace best be helped? *Phi Delta Kappan.* 75 (10), 790-796.
- Levine, D.U., & Lezotte, L.W. (1990). Context differences: Grade level, socioeconomic status, and rural schools. *Unusually effective schools: A review and analysis of research and practice*, Madison, WI: The National Center for Effective Schools Research and Development.
- Oakes, J. (1989). What educational indicators: The case for assessing the school context. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*. 11 (2), 181-199.
- Odden, A. (1990). Educational indicators in the United States: The need for analysis. *Educational Researcher*. 19 (5), 24-29.
- Smith, M. (1988). Educational indicators. Phi Delta Kappan, 69 (7), 487-491.

LDE research offers statistical support for what teachers and other educators have long assumed: schools that display the highest level of student achievement are schools with a high percentage of student attendance, a low percentage of students suspended, and a low percentage of student dropouts (Franklin and Crone, 1993).

## Part 1. District Summary

Parish Socioeconomic And Demographic Overview	. 1-1	Ĺ
District Financial Overview	. 1-3	3

The socioeconomic and demographic composition of the parish may shed light on household situations and thus the educational system of a school district. Issues such as income, poverty rate, single parent households, and teen pregnancy affect family function, which is strongly linked to achievement. This section examines state- and national-level information for each parish socioeconomic and demographic indicator presented.


#### **Definitions**


- *Education Attainment*—is divided into three levels:
  - 1. <u>Less than high school degree</u>: includes persons of compulsory school attendance age or above who are not enrolled in school and are not high school graduates.
  - 2. <u>High school degree</u>: includes persons whose highest degree is a high school diploma or its equivalent and those who have attempted some college or have received an associate degree. Persons who completed the twelfth grade but did not receive a diploma are not included.
  - 3. <u>Bachelor's degree or higher</u>: includes those persons who have received a college, university, or professional degree.
- Labor Force—is divided into four categories:
  - 1. White collar: includes persons with executive, administrative, and managerial occupations; professional specialty occupations; technicians and related support occupations; sales occupations; and administrative support occupations, including clerical.
  - 2. <u>Blue collar</u>: includes persons with precision production, craft, and repair occupations; transportation and material moving occupations; positions held by machine operators,


- assemblers, and inspectors; and positions held by handlers, equipment cleaners, helpers, and laborers.
- 3. <u>Service</u>: includes persons with private household occupations, protective service occupations, and other service occupations.
- 4. <u>Agriculture</u>: includes persons who perform farming, forestry, and fishing industry jobs.
- Household Income Distribution—is divided into seven major groups. The annual income range begins with below \$15,000 and ends with \$100,000 and above.
- Population by Race—is divided into three major groups, white, black, and "other." The "other" category consists of Native Americans and Asian/Pacific Islanders. It should be noted that, according to the 1990 Bureau of Census data, Hispanic origin can be viewed as the ancestry, nationality group, lineage, or country of birth of the person or the person's parents or ancestors before their arrival in the United States. Persons of Hispanic origin may be of any race and are therefore included in the categories of white, black, and "other."
- *Single Parent Household Rate*—is the number of single parent households divided by the total number of households.
- *Poverty Threshold*—is revised to allow for changes in the cost of living as reflected in the Consumer Price Index. According to the 1990 Bureau of the Census data, the average poverty threshold for a family of four persons was \$12,674.
- *Teen Pregnancy Rate*—is the total number of teenage girls under the age of 19 divided by the total number of pregnant women.


#### Iberville Parish Socioeconomic and Demographic Overview

As each school district works toward its educational vision and goals, social and economic factors within the parish may directly or indirectly affect the educational experience of students. An overview of the relevant demographic and socioeconomic profile of each parish places the education indicator data presented in this report in the proper context. These data provide a socioeconomic and demographic profile of the parish as a whole, not the public school district.









Other Relevant Statistics										
	Parish	State	Nation							
Single Parent Households	23.9%	19.1%	14.8%							
All Persons Living Below Poverty Level	28.0%	23.6%	13.5%							
Teen Pregnancy Rate	16.8%	17.6%	12.8%							

\*Due to a large correctional facility located in this parish, data reported for population racial breakdown and education attainment levels may be misleading.

Source: US Bureau of Census, 1990

Vital Statistics, 1990-91 (Louisiana Department of Health & Hospitals)

Financial information broadens the understanding of how public school districts function and provides additional context for the interpretation of educational indicators. The two major components of the financial information are revenues and expenditures.

#### **Definitions**

- Revenues—are governmental funds appropriated for public education. Revenues are received from four main sources:
  - Local: monies collected directly by a district through taxes (ad valorem, sales, and use taxes), bonds, revenues from other local government units, tuition, transportation fees, earnings of investments, food service, and community service.
  - 2. <u>State</u>: monies received from the state government through Louisiana's Minimum Foundation Program (MFP) formula, grants-in-aid, and specific programs such as the Early Childhood Program.
  - 3. <u>Federal</u>: monies received from the federal government through a variety of programs such as Title I, Impact Aid Fund, Reserve Officer Training Corps Program (ROTC), Headstart Programs, School Food Service, Adult Basic Education, and Special Education.
  - 4. <u>District revenues per pupil</u>: total revenues divided by the adjusted October 1 funded student membership.
- Expenditures—are charges incurred, whether paid or unpaid, which benefit the current fiscal year. Total expenditures include the following categories:\*
  - 1. <u>Instructional Expenditures</u>: monies spent for classroom instruction, pupil support, and instructional staff support.

- Non-instructional expenditures: monies spent for school administration, business services, operations and maintenance, transportation, food services, enterprises, and community services.
- 3. <u>Facility Acquisition & Construction Services</u>: monies spent for activities concerned with acquiring land and buildings, remodeling buildings, constructing buildings and additions to buildings, initially installing or extending service systems and other built-in equipment, and improving sites.
- 4. <u>District expenditures per pupil</u>: total expenditures minus debt service divided by the adjusted October 1 funded membership.

Additional items frequently of interest to the public are average salary of full-time teachers and beginning teacher salary. Average salary calculations include full-time classroom teachers and librarians; special education teachers, aides, guidance counselors, and part-time teachers are not included. Beginning teacher salary is defined as the salary paid to a new teacher with a bachelor's degree and no experience. This information is different from average salary of full-time teachers, which is an average of all teachers' salaries in the district.

Note: Some districts' financial data may be adjusted after the publication of this report due to audits. The financial information in this section is based on the December 1, 1997, figures provided by the Office of Management and Finance, LDE.

<sup>\*</sup> Debt service and other long-term obligations are not included in expenditure figures because these monies provide services during multiple years and should not be attributed to only one year.


#### Iberville Parish Financial Profile

	District Revenue by Source												
	1994-95			1994-95 1995-96					1996-97				
Revenue		% of District	State		% of District	State		% of District	State				
Source	Amount	Total	Average %	Amount	Total	Average %	Amount	Total	Average %				
Local	\$16,244,027	47.6%	35.2%	\$17,365,540	49.8%	36.8%	\$18,787,620	51.5%	37.4%				
State	\$13,960,970	40.9%	52.8%	\$13,428,826	38.5%	50.9%	\$13,578,838	37.2%	50.8%				
Federal	\$3,937,670	11.5%	12.1%	\$4,097,395	11.7%	12.3%	\$4,136,328	11.3%	11.8%				
Total	\$34,142,667	100.0%	100.0%	\$34,891,761	100.0%	100.0%	\$36,502,786	100.0%	100.0%				

Adjusted October 1 Student Membership									
1994-95 1995-96 1996-97									
5,435	5,413	5,349							

Revenues Per Pupil											
1994-95   1995-96   1996-97											
Local	\$6,282	\$6,446	\$6,824								
State Average	\$4,848	\$4,981	\$5,296								

Teacher Salaries										
	Local Beginning	Local Average	State Average							
Year	Salary	Salary	Salary							
1994-95	\$21,304	\$30,844	\$26,566							
1995-96	\$21,304	\$29,904	\$26,800							
1996-97	\$23,157	\$31,904	\$29,025							



District Expenditures by Category												
	1994-95				1995-96		1996-97					
		% of District	State		% of District	State		% of District	State			
Expenditure Category	Amount	Total	Average %	Amount	Total	Average %	Amount	Total	Average %			
Instructional Expenditures	\$18,167,816	61.7%	68.1%	\$18,167,268	61.0%	68.0%	\$19,773,376	60.7%	68.2%			
Non-Instructional Expenditures	\$11,259,258	38.3%	31.9%	\$11,635,096	39.0%	32.0%	\$12,817,200	39.3%	31.8%			
Subtotal	\$29,427,074	100.0%	100.0%	\$29,802,364	100.0%	100.0%	\$32,590,576	100.0%	100.0%			
Facility Acquisition & Construction Services	\$3,534			\$3,451			\$486,311					
Total Expenditures (excluding debt services)	\$29,430,608			\$29,805,815			\$33,076,887					

#### Notes:

- 1. District financial data may be adjusted as a result of audits conducted by the Louisiana Department of Education.
- 2. Percentages may not total to 100% due to rounding.
- 3. Revenue per pupil and operating expenditure per pupil are based on adjusted October 1 funded student membership.

School Characteristics

		Schools in Iberville Parish									
		1991-92 1992-93 1993-94 1994-95 1995-96 1996-97									
Schools in Iberville Parish											
October 1 Members	nip	5,561	5,378	5,321	5,297	5,378	5,317				
Number of Faculty		392	375	385	379	382	382				

Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher											
1991-92 1992-93			1993	3-94	1994	<b>4-95</b>	1995	5-96	1990	6-97	
Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
52.94	207	56.68	212	54.05	207	51.60	194	51.32	194	50.40	190

Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher

	Class Size Characteristics for Grades K-12											
	1991-92		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996	-97
	Percent	Number	Percent 1	Vumber	Percent	Number	Percent N	Number	Percent	Number	Percent \( \lambda \)	lumber
Class Size Characteristics for Grades K-12												
Elementary Schools												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	~	~	35.78	83	30.74	79	27.67	70	32.59	73
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	~	~	47.84	111	49.81	128	50.99	129	46.88	105
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	~	~	16.38	38	19.46	50	21.34	54	20.54	46
Middle/Jr. High Schools												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	~	~	4.84	9	8.56	16	5.18	10	3.05	6
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	~	~	56.45	105	28.34	53	69.95	135	59.90	118
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	~	~	38.71	72	63.10	118	24.87	48	37.06	73
High Schools												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	~	~	39.08	111	43.11	122	53.24	156	47.85	145
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	~	~	35.92	102	28.62	81	27.65	81	31.02	94
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	~	~	25.00	71	28.27	80	19.11	56	21.12	64
Combination Schools												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	~	~	54.10	145	56.87	149	59.56	162	59.58	171
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	~	~	33.96	91	32.06	84	25.37	69	26.13	75
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	~	~	11.94	32	11.07	29	15.07	41	14.29	41
All Schools												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	44.30	447	39.21	376	35.88	348	37.01	366	39.37	398	39.07	395
Class Size Range 21 - 26	43.11	435	41.81	401	42.16	409	34.98	346	40.95	414	38.77	392
Class Size Range 27 or more	12.59	127	18.98	182	21.96	213	28.01	277	19.68	199	22.16	224

Student Participation

			Student A	ttendance		
	1991-92	<b>1992-93</b> <sup>1</sup>	1993-94	1994-95	<b>1995-96</b> <sup>2</sup>	1996-97
Percent of Student Attendance						
Elementary Schools	~	~	95.32	95.66	95.04	96.51
Middle/Jr. High Schools	~	~	92.72	92.64	92.41	92.74
High Schools	~	~	92.77	92.94	90.27	90.25
Combination Schools	~	~	93.80	95.45	93.78	93.39
All Schools	95.22	94.49	94.07	94.62	93.38	93.85

		Student Dropouts											
	1991	1991-92 1		1992-93 <sup>3</sup> 1		1993-94		<b>1-95</b>	1995-96		199	6-97	
	Percent	Number	Percent	Percent	Number	Percent Numb							
Student <u>Dropouts</u>													
Grade 7	0.87	4	0.24	1	0.43	2	1.46	7	3.24	18	1.93	9	
Grade 8	1.35	5	1.36	5	0.00	0	1.27	5	6.15	24	4.33	20	
Grade 9	3.94	15	4.99	21	3.80	16	4.58	22	13.80	65	15.17	76	
Grade 10	0.93	3	3.32	10	0.00	0	2.72	8	8.76	29	9.90	31	
Grade 11	0.48	1	0.90	2	0.00	0	2.46	6	3.62	8	5.51	14	
Grade 12	0.45	1	2.70	6	1.73	4	4.30	12	4.85	13	4.48	12	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A standard attendance definition was piloted statewide in 1992-93 and implemented statewide in 1993-94; hence, prior years' data may not be comparable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In 1992-93, Louisiana was in transition to the federal reporting calendar; hence, prior years' data may not be comparable.

Student\_Participation (Continued)

	Students Suspended and Expelled											
	1991	<b>1-92</b>	1992	-93	1993	<b>3-94</b>	1994	-95	1995	5-96 <sup>1</sup>	1996	<b>5-97</b>
	Percent	Number	Percent N	lumber	Percent	Number	Percent N	lumber	Percent Number		Percent 1	Number
Students Suspended and Expelled												
Elementar <u>y Schools</u>												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	19.14	434
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	7.78	178	5.25	120	5.88	145	6.09	138
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	0.13	3	0.31	7	0.37	9	0.49	11
Middle/Jr. <u>High Schools</u>												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	26.21	281
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	25.53	229	19.98	201	24.93	270	27.71	297
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	2.23	20	3.58	36	3.88	42	4.29	46
High Schools												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	30.18	379
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	27.43	285	12.27	136	7.90	96	14.97	188
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	~	٧	~	~	1.92	20	1.62	18	1.73	21	2.31	29
Combination Schools												
Suspended (In School)	~	٧	~	~	~	۲	~	۲	~	۲	25.80	385
Suspended (Out of School)	~	٧	~	~	19.92	259	10.59	150	12.08	178	13.20	197
Expelled (In School)	~	٧	~	~	~	۲	~	۲	~	۲	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	~	٧	~	~	0.23	3	0.49	7	1.02	15	0.40	6
All Schools												
Suspended (In School)	~	٧	~	~	~	۲	~	۲	~	۲	24.67	1,476
Suspended (Out of School)	14.49	836	16.30	893	17.22	951	10.43	607	11.28	688	13.69	819
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	?	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	0.35	20	0.68	37	0.83	46	1.17	68	1.43	87	1.54	92

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

Student Achievement

		Percen	t of Stu	dents	Passin	g CRT	and N	Jumbe	r of Stu	ıdents	Tested	
	199	1-92	1992	<b>2-93</b>	1993	3-94	1994-95		199	<b>5-96</b> <sup>1</sup>	1996	5-97
	Percent	Percent Number Pe		Vumber	Percent	Number	Percent Number		Percent	Number	Percent	Number
Criterion-referenced Test (CRT) Results - Grade 03												
Language Arts	91	493	84	463	87	430	85	460	85	466	81	446
Mathematics	88	493	84	463	85	430	84	460	81	462	83	444
Criterion-referenced Test (CRT) Results - Grade 05												
Language Arts	95	390	89	416	91	439	89	400	83	478	87	423
Mathematics	92	390	92	416	92	436	90	398	84	474	85	430
Criterion-referenced Test (CRT) Results - Grade 07					,							
Language Arts	94	371	89	386	95	369	93	377	93	446	88	381
Mathematics	93	372	85	387	91	368	88	375	89	446	85	379
Graduation Exit Exam (GEE) Results					,							
Language Arts	95	235	98	237	95	260	92	223	91	265	86	255
Mathematics	86	234	93	235	92	258	87	220	91	264	77	256
Written Composition	69	231	96	234	91	260	98	220	94	258	96	247
Science	90	194	87	215	94	207	89	214	89	210	87	235
Social Studies	92	189	91	213	94	206	95	212	90	210	93	234

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

Student Achievement (Continued)

Percent of Students by National Quarters and Median Percentile Rank California Achievement Test (CAT/5)

	1991-92	1992-93 <sup>2</sup>	1993-94	1994-95	<b>1995-96</b> <sup>1</sup>	1996-97					
Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 04											
Fourth Quarter	~	16.6	15.2	15.1	16.6	18.3					
Third Quarter	~	25.5	23.6	25.2	19.2	19.1					
Second Quarter	~	33.9	36.0	33.0	32.8	32.7					
First Quarter	~	24.0	25.2	26.8	31.4	29.9					
Median Percentile Rank	~	44.4	40.9	41.7	40.1	40.9					
Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 06											
Fourth Quarter	~	11.5	12.9	9.4	11.0	9.1					
Third Quarter	~	22.5	23.1	21.0	20.8	17.4					
Second Quarter	~	42.7	43.3	41.6	38.8	37.1					
First Quarter	~	23.3	20.7	28.0	29.5	36.4					
Median Percentile Rank	~	40.8	42.0	38.7	40.2	33.7					
Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 08											
Fourth Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	13.7					
Third Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	28.8					
Second Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	40.7					
First Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	16.7					
Median Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	45.8					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In 1992-93, the state NRT changed from the CAT/Form F to the CAT/5; hence, prior years data are not presented.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

College Readiness

		Ameri	can College T	Γest (ACT) R	Results							
	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97						
Average Composite Score	17.5 18.0 17.4 18.0 17.6 17.8											

	First-time College Freshmen Performance											
	199	1991-92		<b>1992-93</b> 1		3-94	1994	4-95	199	5-96	199	6-97
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
Number of High School Graduates <sup>1</sup>		~		213		164		209		247		240
HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	~	~	28.64	61	42.07	69	38.28	80	31.98	79	35.00	84
First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Courses	~	~	63.93	39	65.22	45	46.25	37	44.30	35	41.66	35

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Represents graduates from the previous school year.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

## Part 2. School Characteristics

Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher	2-3
Class Size Characteristics	2-5

**Table 1**Schools in Iberville Parish

		1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97
024003	Crescent Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	K-8,NG,S	K-8,NG,S	K-8,NG,S	K-8	K-8,NG	K-8,NG
	October 1 Membership	831	852	856	874	899	830
	Number of Faculty	54	51	53	54	55	56
	Category	~	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
024005	Gay, Edward J., Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	4-5,S	Closed	~	~	~	~
	October 1 Membership	503	Closed	~	~	~	~
	Number of Faculty	24	Closed	٧	~	٠	~
	Category	~	Closed	٧	~	٠	~
024009	Plaquemine Junior High School						
	Grade Structure	6-8,S	Closed	٧	~	٠	~
	October 1 Membership	442	Closed	٧	~	٠	~
	Number of Faculty	33	Closed	٧	~	٠	~
	Category	~	Closed	٧	~	٠	~
024010	Plaquemine Senior High School						
	Grade Structure	9-12,NG,S	9-12,S	9-12,S	9-12	9-12,NG	9-12,NG
	October 1 Membership	699	714	672	683	750	754
	Number of Faculty	57	52	55	53	54	55
	Category	~	~	High	High	High	High
024017	White Castle High School						
	Grade Structure	7-12,S	7-12,S	7-12,S	7-12	7-12,NG	7-12,NG
	October 1 Membership	300	307	276	283	309	341
	Number of Faculty	28	28	27	27	28	28
	Category	~	~	High	High	High	High
024019	Dorseyville Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	P,K-6	P,K-6	P,K-6,S	P,K-6	K-6	K-6
	October 1 Membership	591	555	520	492	462	473
	Number of Faculty	47	44	42	42	42	44
	Category	~	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
024020	East Iberville Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	K-6	K-6	Closed	~	~	~
	October 1 Membership	345	360	Closed	~	~	~
	Number of Faculty	31	31	Closed	~	~	~
	Category	~	~	Closed	~	~	~

**Table 1**Schools in Iberville Parish

		1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97
024021	East Iberville High School						
	Grade Structure	7-12	7-12	Closed	~	~	~
	October 1 Membership	216	228	Closed	~	~	~
	Number of Faculty	24	23	Closed	~	~	~
	Category	~	~	Closed	~	~	~
024022	Iberville Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	K-3,S	K-3,S	P,K-3,S	K-3	K-3,NG	K-3,NG
	October 1 Membership	886	866	823	823	767	707
	Number of Faculty	64	62	60	61	60	59
	Category	~	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
024023	North Iberville Elementary/High School						
	Grade Structure	P,K-12,S	K-12,S	P,K-12,S	P,K-12	K-12,NG	K-12,NG
	October 1 Membership	748	686	751	724	706	707
	Number of Faculty	54	48	53	54	55	55
	Category	~	~	Combination	Combination	Combination	Combination
024024	E.J. Gay Middle School						
	Grade Structure	~	4-8,S	4-8,S	4-8	4-8,NG	4-8,NG
	October 1 Membership	~	810	866	869	910	929
	Number of Faculty	~	55	65	58	60	58
	Category	~	~	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High
024025	East Iberville Elementary/High School						
	Grade Structure	~	~	P,K-12	K-12	K-12,NG	K-12,NG
	October 1 Membership	~	~	557	549	575	576
	Number of Faculty	~	~	48	49	49	48
	Category	~	~	Combination	Combination	Combination	Combination
District							
	October 1 Membership	5,561	5,378	5,321	5,297	5,378	5,317
	Number of Faculty	392	375	385	379	382	382

### Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher

Perhaps the most vital educational resource available to students is the school faculty. One indicator of faculty preparation is the level of academic training the staff has completed.

#### **Organization**

Table 2, Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher, presents the number and percent of faculty attaining a master's degree or higher. Data are presented for all faculty members in all schools in the district that receive a *Report Card*. Schools are presented in site code order. District and state totals are presented for comparison purposes.

#### Data Presentation: School Report Card

The School Report Card displays the percent of faculty with a master's degree or higher.

#### **Definition**

• Faculty—school-based instructional personnel. In addition to full-time classroom teachers, these individuals include principals,

assistant principals, guidance counselors, librarians, and other instructional staff (provided these individuals teach at least one class.)

#### **Method of Calculation**

The formula used to compute the percentage of faculty who have a master's degree or higher is presented below. Itinerant staff members who are employed at multiple school sites are counted at each school in which they teach, but are counted only once in district and state percentages.

#### **Data Sources**

Site-based personnel—district-reported data from the Annual School Report.

Faculty degree status—district-reported data from the Annual School Report; LDE Teacher Certification Database.

#### Formula Used to Calculate Percent of Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher

Percent of Faculty
with a Master's Degree = Number of Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher
or Higher 

Total Number of Faculty at All Education Levels 

X 100

Table 2Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher

		1993	1-92	1992	2-93	1993	3-94	1994	<b>1-95</b>	1995	5-96	1990	<b>5-97</b>
		Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
024003	Crescent Elementary School	48.15	26	52.94	27	45.28	24	42.59	23	38.18	21	37.50	21
024005	Gay, Edward J., Elementary School	58.33	14	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
024009	Plaquemine Junior High School	57.58	19	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
024010	Plaquemine Senior High School	59.65	34	67.31	35	61.11	33	58.82	30	59.62	31	58.49	31
024017	White Castle High School	57.14	16	60.71	17	66.67	18	62.96	17	57.14	16	60.71	17
024019	Dorseyville Elementary School	70.21	33	65.91	29	69.05	29	66.67	28	70.73	29	69.77	30
024020	East Iberville Elementary School	61.29	19	61.29	19	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
024021	East Iberville High School	65.22	15	63.64	14	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
024022	Iberville Elementary School	51.56	33	53.23	33	53.33	32	47.54	29	48.33	29	49.15	29
024023	North Iberville Elementary/High School	35.19	19	39.58	19	41.51	22	46.30	25	50.00	27	35.19	
024024	E.J. Gay Middle School	~	~	63.64	35	52.31	34	50.00	29	51.67	31	56.90	33
024025	East Iberville Elementary/High School	~	~	~	~	61.70	29	54.17	26	55.10	27	48.94	23
District		52.94	207	56.68	212	54.05	207	51.60	194	51.32	194	50.40	190
State		44.12	21,824	43.62	21,927	43.57	22,111	42.53	21,844	42.20	21,854	41.55	21,556

Small classes generally allow more time for pupil-teacher interaction and therefore are instrumental in promoting student learning, especially at the lower elementary grades. In recognition of that fact, the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education has set specific limits on the maximum size of classes at various grade levels (*Bulletin 741*). The maximum enrollment in grades K-3 is 26 students, while that in grades 4-12 is 33 students. The limits do not apply to activity classes such as physical education, chorus, and band.

#### **Organization**

Tables 3a, 3b, 3c, and 3d (Class Size Characteristics for Elementary, Middle/Junior High, High, and Combination Schools, respectively) present the number and percentage of classes that fall within various class size ranges. Data are presented for all schools in the district that receive a *Report Card*, with schools presented by category and in site code order. District and state percentages are presented for comparison of all schools. Since 1993-94, district and state percentages based on school category also have been provided.

The *District Composite Report* presents class size information for grades K-12 in three ranges: 1-20, 21-26, and 27 or more students.

#### Data Presentation: School Report Card

The 1996-97 School Report Card provides 1996-97 class size information for grades K-12 by three ranges: 1-20, 21-26, and 27+. Category percentages are provided for comparison purposes.

#### **Definition**

 Class—a grouping of children under the primary supervision and instruction of an individual teacher for all or part of the instructional day, as reported for the purposes of the *Annual* School Report (ASR) and identifiable by a specific ASR course code.

#### **Method of Calculation**

The following criterion was applied to *Annual School Report (ASR)* data to determine which classes should be included/excluded from the class size calculations:

 Activity classes (which have a maximum allowable student count greater than 33) are excluded because their inclusion in the computation would skew the results.

#### Formulas Used to Calculate Percent of Classes in Each of the Specific Class Size Ranges

Percent of Classes
in Specific Class Size Range = 
Number of Classes in Specific
Class Size Range
Total Number of Classes

X 100\*

\*Note: Due to school categorization, the numerator and denominator will vary. For example, Percent of Classes in Elementary Schools in Specific Class Size Range = (Number of Classes in Elementary Schools in Specific Class Size Range / Total Number of Classes in Elementary Schools) X 100.

#### **Data Source**

District-reported data from the Annual School Report (ASR).

#### References

Franklin, B.J. and Glascock, C.H. (1994, November). School configuration: Which configuration is best? Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Mid-South Educational Research Association, Nashville, Tenn.

Louisiana Department of Education, Louisiana Handbook for School Administrators (Bulletin 741), Baton Rouge, La.

LDE researchers have explored the relationship between school configuration and Report Card indicators related to student participation and testing. Middle school students perform significantly lower in grades 6 and 7 for all indicators than grades 6 and 7 students in elementary or combination (K-12) schools (Franklin and Glascock, 1994).

## **Table 3a: Class Size Characteristics**

## Elementary Schools

		199	1-92	1992	2-93	1993	<b>3-94</b>	1994	1-95	1995	5-96	1996	-97
		Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Vumber
024003	Crescent Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	25.24		22.58	21	11.11	13	7.69	10	6.11	8	24.11	27
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	62.14		27.96	26	56.41	66	53.85	70	52.67	69	41.07	46
	Class Size Range 27 or more	12.62	13	49.46	46	32.48	38	38.46	50	41.22	54	34.82	39
024005	Gay, Edward J., Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	21.13	15	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	67.61	48	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Class Size Range 27 or more	11.27	8	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
024019	Dorseyville Elementary School		,			ı							
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	44.09		38.71	36	60.26	47	47.19	42	58.14	50	40.26	31
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	55.91	52	61.29	57	39.74	31	52.81	47	41.86	36	50.65	39
	Class Size Range 27 or more	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	9.09	7
024020	East Iberville Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	28.99	20	60.87	42	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	71.01	49	39.13	27	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Class Size Range 27 or more	0.00	0	0.00	0	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
024022	Iberville Elementary School		_										
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	58.54	24	50.00	19	62.16	23	71.05	27	33.33	12	42.86	15
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	41.46	17	50.00	19	37.84	14	28.95	11	66.67	24	57.14	20
	Class Size Range 27 or more	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0

## **Table 3a: Class Size Characteristics**

## Elementary Schools

	1991-92		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996	<b>5-97</b>
	Percent	Number										
District (Elementary Schools)												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	~	~	35.78	83	30.74	79	27.67	70	32.59	73
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	~	~	47.84	111	49.81	128	50.99	129	46.88	105
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	~	~	16.38	38	19.46	50	21.34	54	20.54	46
District (All Schools)												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	44.30	447	39.21	376	35.88	348	37.01	366	39.37	398	39.07	395
Class Size Range 21 - 26	43.11	435	41.81	401	42.16	409	34.98	346	40.95	414	38.77	392
Class Size Range 27 or more	12.59	127	18.98	182	21.96	213	28.01	277	19.68	199	22.16	224
State (Elementary Schools)												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	~	~	29.86	9,170	30.32	9,287	32.15	9,840	31.58	9,687
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	~	~	51.31	15,758	50.85	15,577	50.68	15,510	53.06	16,277
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	~	~	18.83	5,783	18.83	5,768	17.17	5,255	15.37	4,714
State (All Schools)												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	29.42	32,500	30.00	33,335	30.42	34,199	31.18	35,133	32.25	36,358	32.51	37,192
Class Size Range 21 - 26	40.51	44,755	40.59	45,104	39.16	44,023	39.79	44,829	39.63	44,678	40.20	45,996
Class Size Range 27 or more	30.07	33,223	29.42	32,693	30.41	34,185	29.03	32,715	28.12	31,698	27.29	31,226

## **Table 3b: Class Size Characteristics**

Middle/Jr. High Schools

	1991-92		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996	5-97
					Percent						Percent	Number
024009 Plaquemine Junior High School												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	26.42	28	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
Class Size Range 21 - 26	59.43	63	Closed	Closed		~	~	~	~	~	~	~
Class Size Range 27 or more	14.15	15	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
024024 E.J. Gay Middle School									,			
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	13.64	24	4.84	9	8.56		5.18	10	3.05	6
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	71.59	126	56.45	105	28.34		69.95	135	59.90	118
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	14.77	26	38.71	72	63.10	118	24.87	48	37.06	73
District (Middle/Jr. High Schools)									,			
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	~	~	4.84	9	8.56		5.18	10	3.05	6
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	~	~	56.45	105	28.34	53	69.95	135	59.90	118
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	~	~	38.71	72	63.10	118	24.87	48	37.06	73
District (All Schools)									,			
Class Size Range 1 - 20	44.30	447	39.21	376	35.88	348	37.01	366	39.37	398	39.07	395
Class Size Range 21 - 26	43.11	435	41.81	401	42.16	409	34.98	346	40.95	414	38.77	392
Class Size Range 27 or more	12.59	127	18.98	182	21.96	213	28.01	277	19.68	199	22.16	224
State (Middle/Jr. High Schools)												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	~	~	21.06	6,253	23.16	6,785	23.22	6,682	24.50	7,050
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	~	~	38.07	11,300	39.15	11,471	40.39	11,625	40.85	11,756
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	~	~	40.87	12,133	37.69	11,045	36.40	10,476	34.66	9,975
State (All Schools)												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	29.42	32,500	30.00	33,335	30.42	34,199	31.18	35,133	32.25	36,358	32.51	37,192
Class Size Range 21 - 26	40.51	44,755	40.59	45,104	39.16	44,023	39.79	44,829	39.63	44,678	40.20	45,996
Class Size Range 27 or more	30.07	33,223	29.42	32,693	30.41	34,185	29.03	32,715	28.12	31,698	27.29	31,226

## **Table 3c: Class Size Characteristics**

## High Schools

	1991-92 1992-93		1993-94 1994-95		1995-96		1996	5-97				
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
024010 Plaquemine Senior High School												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	36.51	69	27.22	49	30.00	54	31.28	56	42.39	78	40.21	78
Class Size Range 21 - 26	28.04	53	33.33	60	34.44	62	29.61	53	32.07	59	32.47	63
Class Size Range 27 or more	35.45	67	39.44	71	35.56	64	39.11	70	25.54	47	27.32	53
024017 White Castle High School												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	70.80		53.85	56	54.81	57	63.46	66	71.56	78	61.47	67
Class Size Range 21 - 26	24.78	28	24.04	25	38.46	40	26.92	28	20.18	22	28.44	31
Class Size Range 27 or more	4.42	5	22.12	23	6.73	7	9.62	10	8.26	9	10.09	11
024021 East Iberville High School									,			
Class Size Range 1 - 20	73.75	59	66.27	55	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
Class Size Range 21 - 26	16.25	13		22	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
Class Size Range 27 or more	10.00	8	7.23	6	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
District (High Schools)												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	~	~	39.08	111	43.11	122	53.24	156	47.85	145
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	~	~	35.92	102	28.62	81	27.65	81	31.02	94
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	~	~	25.00	71	28.27	80	19.11	56	21.12	64
District (All Schools)												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	44.30	447	39.21	376	35.88	348	37.01	366	39.37	398	39.07	395
Class Size Range 21 - 26	43.11	435	41.81	401	42.16	409	34.98	346	40.95	414	38.77	392
Class Size Range 27 or more	12.59	127	18.98	182	21.96	213	28.01	277	19.68	199	22.16	224
State (High Schools)												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	~	~		14,261		14,610		15,285		15,900
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	~	~		14,813		15,478		15,285		15,607
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	~	~	34.45	15,277	32.96	14,792	32.79	14,911	33.08	15,576
State (All Schools)												
Class Size Range 1 - 20		32,500		33,335		34,199		35,133				37,192
Class Size Range 21 - 26		44,755		45,104		44,023		44,829		44,678		45,996
Class Size Range 27 or more	30.07	33,223	29.42	32,693	30.41	34,185	29.03	32,715	28.12	31,698	27.29	31,226

## **Table 3d: Class Size Characteristics**

### Combination Schools

	1991-92		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996	5-97
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
024023 North Iberville Elementary/High School			· ·		·		, in the second second				·	
Class Size Range 1 - 20	59.03	85	60.16	74	67.21	82	60.53	69	61.67	74	62.86	88
Class Size Range 21 - 26	33.33	48	31.71	39	27.87	34	26.32	30	27.50	33	16.43	23
Class Size Range 27 or more	7.64	11	8.13	10	4.92	6	13.16	15	10.83	13	20.71	29
024025 East Iberville Elementary/High School												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	~	~	43.15	63	54.05	80	57.89	88	56.46	83
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	~	~	39.04	57	36.49	54	23.68	36	35.37	52
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	~	~	17.81	26	9.46	14	18.42	28	8.16	12
District (Combination Schools)												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	~	~	54.10	145	56.87	149	59.56	162	59.58	171
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	~	~	33.96	91	32.06	84	25.37	69	26.13	75
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	~	~	11.94	32	11.07	29	15.07	41	14.29	41
District (All Schools)												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	44.30	447	39.21	376	35.88	348	37.01	366	39.37	398	39.07	395
Class Size Range 21 - 26	43.11	435	41.81	401	42.16	409	34.98	346	40.95	414	38.77	392
Class Size Range 27 or more	12.59	127	18.98	182	21.96	213	28.01	277	19.68	199	22.16	224
State (Combination Schools)									,			
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	~	~	58.95	4,515	56.60	4,451	57.86	4,551	57.86	4,555
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	~	~	28.10	2,152	29.29	2,303	28.71	2,258	29.93	2,356
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	~	~	12.95	992	14.11	1,110	13.43	1,056	12.21	961
State (All Schools)												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	29.42	32,500	30.00	33,335	30.42	34,199	31.18	35,133	32.25	36,358	32.51	37,192
Class Size Range 21 - 26	40.51	44,755	40.59	45,104	39.16	44,023	39.79	44,829	39.63	44,678	40.20	45,996
Class Size Range 27 or more	30.07	33,223	29.42	32,693	30.41	34,185	29.03	32,715	28.12	31,698	27.29	31,226

## Part 3. Student Participation

Student Attendance	3-1
Student Dropouts	3-7
Students Suspended and Expelled	3-11

More than a decade ago, American schools were challenged by *A Nation at Risk* to do whatever necessary to reduce the amount of instructional time lost to absenteeism (Bennett, 1988). As educators have long recognized, occasional absences cause some learning disruption, but frequent student absences can severely reduce academic progress (Bamber, 1979).

The percent of student attendance reflects the percentage of time the average student is present within the total number of instructional days. Since 1993-94, attendance has been calculated to the nearest half day.

Prior to 1995-96, attendance data were reported for regular education students only. The 1995-96 and 1996-97 data include special education students as well; hence, comparisons with prior years data are strongly discouraged.

#### **Organization**

Tables 4a, 4b, 4c, and 4d, Student Attendance, present the percent of student attendance for each school in the district receiving a *Report Card*. District and state percentages are presented for comparison of all schools. Schools are presented by category and in site code order. Since 1993-94, district and state percentages based on school category have been provided for comparison purposes.

#### Data Presentation: School Report Card

The 1996-97 School Report Card presents the percent of student attendance for the school, district, and state, based on the school category.

Of all the School Report Card indicators studied, student attendance yields the strongest positive relationship with average test scores. This is especially evident in secondary schools with higher attendance. These schools show a marked increase in the percentage of students passing the Graduation Exit Exam (Franklin and Crone, 1993).

#### **Definitions**

- Aggregate days attendance—the total number of days that students are *present* at the school site over the course of the school year.
- Aggregate days membership—the total number of days that students are *enrolled* (but not necessarily *present* at the school site) over the course of the school year.
- Day of attendance—effective with the 1992-93 school year, "a student is considered to be in attendance when he or she 1) is physically present at a school site or is participating in an authorized school activity and 2) is under the supervision of authorized personnel. This definition extends to students who are homebound, assigned to and participating in drug rehabilitation programs that contain a state-approved education component, or participating in school-authorized field trips."

"Students who meet the above criteria and are present at the school site for 26-50 percent of the student's instructional day shall be credited with a half day of attendance. Those who meet the above criteria and are present for at least 51% of the student's instructional day are credited with a whole day of attendance. Students who are not physically present or who are participating for 25 percent or less of their instructional day will be considered absent for reporting purposes. Absences, whether excused or unexcused, shall be counted as an absence for reporting to the Department." (Bulletin 741)

As mentioned previously, the above definition was piloted for the 1992-93 school year and has been in effect statewide since the 1993-94 school year.

• *Percent of student attendance*—the ratio of aggregate days student attendance to aggregate days membership.

#### **Method of Calculation**

The formulas used in calculating percent of student attendance are presented at the bottom of this page.

Data Sources References

The attendance indicator is based on district-reported data submitted to the LDE via the Student Information System (SIS).

Bamber, C. (1979). Student and teacher absenteeism. *Phi Delta Kappa Fastback*. 126, 12.

Bennett, W. J. (1988). *American Education - Making It Work.* 17. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

Franklin, B. J. and Crone, L. J. (1993). *Louisiana Progress Profiles*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta, Ga.

Louisiana Department of Education. *Handbook for Louisiana School Administrators (Bulletin 741)*. Baton Rouge, La.: Author.

#### Formulas Used to Calculate Percent of Student Attendance

### **School-level Aggregation**

### **District-level Aggregation**

### **State-level Aggregation**

Percent of Student Attendance = 
$$\frac{Total \, Aggregate \, Days \, of \, Attendance \, for}{All \, Schools \, in \, the \, State} \times 100^*$$

$$for \, All \, Schools \, in \, the \, State$$

\*Note: Due to school categorization, the numerator and denominator will vary. For example, Percent of Student Attendance in Elementary Schools = (Aggregate Days of Attendance for All Elementary Schools / Aggregate Days of Membership for All Elementary Schools X 100.

# **Table 4a: Percent of Student Attendance**

# Elementary Schools

	1991-92	1992-93 <sup>1</sup>	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96 <sup>2</sup>	1996-97
024003 Crescent Elementary School	95.68	94.78	95.41	96.36	96.58	97.75
024005 Gay, Edward J., Elementary School	95.49	Closed	~	~	~	~
024019 Dorseyville Elementary School	95.10	95.26	95.44	96.01	94.58	95.61
024020 East Iberville Elementary School	95.34	98.36	Closed	~	~	~
024022 Iberville Elementary School	95.44	94.40	95.14	94.69	93.45	95.65
District (Elementary Schools)	~	~	95.32	95.66	95.04	96.51
District (All Schools)	95.22	94.49	94.07	94.62	93.38	93.85
State (Elementary Schools)	~	~	95.01	95.21	95.01	95.20
State (All Schools)	~	~	93.55	93.64	93.36	93.70

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A standard attendance defintion was piloted statewide in 1992-93 and implemented statewide in 1993-94; hence prior years' data may not be comparable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# **Table 4b: Percent of Student Attendance**

Middle/Jr. High Schools

	1991-92	1992-93 <sup>1</sup>	1993-94	1994-95	<b>1995-96</b> <sup>2</sup>	1996-97
024009 Plaquemine Junior High School	94.37	Closed	~	~	~	~
024024 E.J. Gay Middle School	~	92.24	92.72	92.65	92.41	92.74
District (Middle/Jr. High Schools)	~	~	92.72	92.64	92.41	92.74
District (All Schools)	95.22	94.49	94.07	94.62	93.38	93.85
State (Middle/Jr. High Schools)	~	~	92.84	92.72	92.56	93.14
State (All Schools)	~	~	93.55	93.64	93.36	93.70

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A standard attendance defintion was piloted statewide in 1992-93 and implemented statewide in 1993-94; hence prior years' data may not be comparable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# **Table 4c: Percent of Student Attendance**

# High Schools

	1991-92	<b>1992-93</b> <sup>1</sup>	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96 <sup>2</sup>	1996-97
024010 Plaquemine Senior High School	94.48	92.11	92.06	93.10	90.45	90.65
024017 White Castle High School	95.98	96.26	94.65	92.56	89.84	89.41
024021 East Iberville High School	93.71	91.71	Closed	~	~	~
District (High Schools)	~	~	92.77	92.94	90.27	90.25
District (All Schools)	95.22	94.49	94.07	94.62	93.38	93.85
State (High Schools)	~	~	90.97	91.02	90.62	91.06
State (All Schools)	~	~	93.55	93.64	93.36	93.70

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A standard attendance defintion was piloted statewide in 1992-93 and implemented statewide in 1993-94; hence prior years' data may not be comparable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# **Table 4d: Percent of Student Attendance**

# Combination Schools

	1991-92	<b>1992-93</b> <sup>1</sup>	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96 <sup>2</sup>	1996-97
024023 North Iberville Elementary/High School	95.78	96.95	93.51	96.48	94.14	93.43
024025 East Iberville Elementary/High School	~	~	94.17	94.12	93.33	93.35
District (Combination Schools)	~	~	93.80	95.45	93.78	93.39
District (All Schools)	95.22	94.49	94.07	94.62	93.38	93.85
State (Combination Schools)	~	~	94.45	94.48	94.20	94.67
State (All Schools)	~	~	93.55	93.64	93.36	93.70

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A standard attendance defintion was piloted statewide in 1992-93 and implemented statewide in 1993-94; hence prior years' data may not be comparable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

Students who drop out of school deprive our country of potentially valuable human resources (Hershaff, 1980). Research indicates that dropping out of school has negative consequences both for the individual who drops out and for society (Curry, Payson, and Sandhu, 1990).

Over the last 20 years, there has been a general increase in high school completion rates. Despite these gains, dropout rates remain at unacceptably high levels. The monitoring of high school dropout rates provides one measure of our progress in increasing the educational attainment of the state's youth. Unfortunately, determining the exact number of students who actually drop out of school is extremely difficult.

According to LDE research, the percent of student dropouts has a strong negative correlation with test scores and attendance, and a positive correlation with school size. Thus, schools with low average test scores and low average attendance generally experience high dropout rates. Larger schools (those with enrollments of roughly 700 or more students) exhibit higher dropout rates than do smaller schools (Franklin and Crone, 1993).

Prior to 1995-96, attendance data were reported for regular education students only. The 1995-96 and 1996-97 data include special education students as well; hence, comparisons with prior years data are strongly discouraged.

### **Organization**

Table 5, Student Dropouts, presents the number and percent of students (by grade level) who drop out of school for grades 7-12. Data are presented by school site code for all *Report Card* schools in the district whose grade structure includes grade seven or higher. District and state numbers and percents are offered for comparison purposes.

### Data Presentation: School Report Card

School-level counts and percents are reported (by grade) for grades 7-12. Also, district and state percents are presented.

#### **Definitions**

- Cumulative Enrollment—the sum of all students enrolled in a school or district for at least one school day during the course of the school year, used as the denominator for calculating schooland district-level suspension and expulsion percents.
- Dropout—the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) defines a dropout as "an individual who was enrolled in school at some time during the previous school year, was not enrolled at the beginning of the current school year, has not graduated from high school or completed an approved educational program, and does not meet any of the following exclusive conditions: transfer to another public school district, private school, or state- or district-approved education program; temporary absence due to suspension or school-approved illness; or death."

"For purposes of applying the dropout definition, the following definitions also apply:

- 1. A school year is defined as the 12-month period of time beginning October 1 and ending September 30.
- 2. A school completer is an individual who has graduated from high school or completed a state- or district-approved education program.
- 3. A state or district approved program is one that leads to receipt of formal recognition from school authorities. It may include special education programs, home-based instruction, and school-sponsored secondary (but *NOT* adult) programs leading to a GED or some other certification differing from the regular diploma" (NCES, 1993).

#### **Method of Calculation**

Louisiana's school- and district-level student dropout percents are calculated by dividing the total number of student dropouts in each grade for grades 7-12 by the cumulative enrollment for that grade.

To conform with the federal Common Core of Data (CCD) reporting, the state dropout rate is calculated by dividing the total number of dropouts by the state membership as of October 1, 1996. The formulas used to produce percent of student dropouts are presented on the following page.

#### **Data Sources**

The dropout indicator is based on district-reported data submitted to the LDE via the Student Information System (SIS).

#### References

- Curry, B. A., Payson, James and Sandhu, Daya S. (1990). Efficacy of a university designed dropout prevention program for at-risk adolescents of Louisiana. *Louisiana Education Research Journal*. XVI:1, 52.
- Franklin, B. J. and Crone, L. J. (1993, April). *Louisiana Progress Profiles*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta, Ga.
- Hershaff, S. M. (1980). Dropouts: A comparison of their general feelings of alienation and attitudes toward school with those of persisters. *The Southern Journal of Educational Research*. XIV:4, 247.
- National Center for Education Statistics (1993). *Dropout rates in the United States: 1993*. U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Research and Improvement. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC.

### Formulas Used to Calculate Percent of Student Dropouts

(*Grades 7-12*)

### **School-level Aggregation**

### **District-level Aggregation**

### **State-level Aggregation**

**Table 5: Student Dropouts** 

		1991	1991-92		1992-93 <sup>1</sup>		1993-94		-95	1995-96		1996	-97
		Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent N	lumber	Percent	Number	Percent 1	Vumber
024003	Crescent Elementary School												
	Grade 7	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.87	1	0.78	1	0.00	0
	Grade 8	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	1.06	1	1.05	1	0.00	0
024009	Plaquemine Junior High School												
	Grade 7	0.00	0	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Grade 8	0.00	0	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
024010	Plaquemine Senior High School												
	Grade 9	1.81	5	4.59	13	4.68	13	4.48	15		49	16.72	56
	Grade 10	1.01	2	4.44	8	0.00	0	3.37	6	10.10	20	15.03	26
	Grade 11	0.74	1	1.42	2	0.00	0	1.46	2	3.42	4	2.86	4
	Grade 12	0.76	1	4.41	6	1.99	3	4.88	8	3.09	5	4.58	7
024017	White Castle High School											,	
	Grade 7	0.00	0	0.00	0	1.20	1	3.30	3		3	3.16	3
	Grade 8	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	1.49	1	4.92	3	9.33	7
	Grade 9	0.00	0	4.69	3	1.64	1	7.69	5		4	15.38	10
	Grade 10	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	2.50	1	2.08	1	0.00	0
	Grade 11	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	5.88	2	3.13	1	7.32	3
	Grade 12	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	4.35	2	5.88	2	2.70	1
024021	East Iberville High School												
	Grade 7	3.39	2	0.00	0	Closed		~	~	~	~	~	~
	Grade 8	2.00	1	3.92	2	Closed		~	~	~	~	~	~
	Grade 9	15.91	7	4.35	2	Closed		~	~	~	~	~	~
	Grade 10	2.63	1	2.78	1	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Grade 11	0.00	0	0.00	0	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Grade 12	0.00	0	0.00	0	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
024023	North Iberville Elementary/High School												
	Grade 7	2.60	2	0.00	0	0.00	0	1.96	1	1.28	1	0.00	0
	Grade 8	6.35	4	0.00	0	0.00	0	1.89	1	4.55	2	6.33	5
	Grade 9	5.26	3	5.45	3	0.00	0	3.08	2	9.52	6	3.64	2
	Grade 10	0.00	0	2.50	1	0.00	0	2.86	1	10.87	5	4.26	2
	Grade 11	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	2.38	1	2.86	1	2.44	1
	Grade 12	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	7.32	3	5.71	2
024024	E.J. Gay Middle School												
	Grade 7	~	~	0.63	1	0.54	1	0.99	2	4.48	10	2.08	4
	Grade 8	~	~	2.31	3	0.00	0	1.26	2	10.90	17	3.45	6

In 1992-93, Louisiana was in transition to the federal reporting calendar; hence, prior years' data may not be comparable.

Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

**Table 5: Student Dropouts** 

		1991-92		1992-93 <sup>1</sup>		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996	5-97
		Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent 1	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
024025	East Iberville Elementary/High School												
	Grade 7	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0	5.77	3	4.44	2
	Grade 8	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0	2.13	1	4.35	2
	Grade 9	~	~	~	~	4.17	2	0.00	0	10.91	6	13.11	8
	Grade 10	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0	7.32	3	6.82	3
	Grade 11	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	3.23	1	5.41	2	18.75	6
	Grade 12	~	~	~	~	3.85	1	6.45	2	9.68	3	4.65	2
District													
	Grade 7	0.87	4	0.24	1	0.43	2	1.46	7	3.24	18	1.93	9
	Grade 8	1.35	5	1.36	5	0.00	0	1.27	5	6.15	24	4.33	20
	Grade 9	3.94	15	4.99	21	3.80	16	4.58	22	13.80	65	15.17	76
	Grade 10	0.93	3	3.32	10	0.00	0	2.72	8	8.76	29	9.90	31
	Grade 11	0.48	1	0.90	2	0.00	0	2.46	6	3.62	8	5.51	14
	Grade 12	0.45	1	2.70	6	1.73	4	4.30	12	4.85	13	4.48	12
	Grades 9 - 12	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	8.91	115	9.96	133
State													
	Grade 7	1.72	1,051	~	~	1.47	904	0.78	480	4.46	2,816		2,904
	Grade 8	2.15	1,176	~	~	1.71	971	0.93	538	6.04	3,568	5.53	3,232
	Grade 9	5.57	3,390	~	~	6.48	4,018	4.63	2,898	12.90	8,966	13.51	9,245
	Grade 10	4.87	2,466	~	~	4.91	2,531	3.79	2,005	11.86	6,554	12.10	6,626
	Grade 11	4.43	1,865	~	~	4.57	1,988	3.55	1,555	10.78	4,991	10.58	4,897
	Grade 12	3.43	1,262	~	~	3.70	1,433	2.65	1,061	10.75	4,397	8.62	3,605
	Grades 9 - 12	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	11.75	24,908	11.53	24,373

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In 1992-93, Louisiana was in transition to the federal reporting calendar; hence, prior years' data may not be comparable.
<sup>2</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

Student suspension not only harms students by depriving them of valuable instruction, it also harms communities, the individual school, and school district (Garibaldi, 1978).

Prior to 1995-96, attendance data were reported for regular education students only. The 1995-96 and 1996-97 data include special education students as well; hence, comparisons with prior years data are strongly discouraged.

#### **Organization**

Tables 6a, 6b, 6c, and 6d, Students Suspended and Expelled, present the number and percent of students suspended and the number and percent of students expelled for each school in the district receiving a *Report Card*. Schools are listed by category and in site code order. District percentages are presented for comparison of all schools. Since 1993-94, percentages based on the school category also have been provided for comparison purposes.

It should be pointed out that the "students suspended" number reflects the number of students at the school site who were suspended at least once during the school year. Because some students are suspended more than once over the course of the school year, the total incidence of suspension may be greater than the number reported here.

### Data Presentation: School Report Card

The 1996-97 *School Report Card* presents the school-level number and percent of students suspended and expelled. Category statistics are provided at the district level for comparison purposes.

#### **Definitions**

- Cumulative Enrollment—the sum of all students enrolled in a school or district for at least one school day during the course of the school year, used as the denominator for calculating school-and district-level suspension and expulsion percents.
- In-school Expulsion—student is temporarily removed from his/her usual classroom placement to an alternative setting for a period of time specified by the LEA and no interruption of instructional services occurs.
- In-school Suspension—student is temporarily removed from his/her usual classroom placement to an alternative setting for a minimum of one complete school day and no interruption of instructional services occurs.
- Out-of-school Expulsion—removal (exit) of a student from school for a determined number of days with no provision of instructional services.
- Out-of-school Suspension—student is temporarily prohibited from participating in his/her usual placement within school with no provision of instructional service; only suspensions resulting in removal for at least one full day are included.

Schools which report comparatively high suspension rates tend to serve more low-income students than those which report low suspension rates. Suspension rates tend to be higher among large schools. Middle schools and secondary schools report higher suspension rates than schools with other grade configurations. Finally, class enrollments are larger in high-suspension schools (Kennedy, 1993). This research is further supported by Franklin and Glascock (1994) who found that suspension rates are significantly higher in middle schools than elementary or combination (K-12) schools.

#### **Method of Calculation**

Suspensions and expulsions are calculated for students enrolled in grades K-12. The formulas listed on the following pages were used to calculate the desired school- and district-level percentages for each school category, as well as district-level percentages for all schools.

#### **Data Sources**

The suspension and expulsion indicators are based on district-reported data submitted to the LDE via the Student Information System (SIS).

#### References

- Children's Defense Fund. (1975). School Suspensions Are They Helping Children? Cambridge, Mass.
- Franklin, B. J., and Glascock, C. H. (1994). The K-12 school Did we forget the importance of continuity? Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Mid-South Education Research Association. Nashville, Tenn.
- Garibaldi, A. M. (1978). *In-School Alternatives to Suspension: Conference Report.* Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Kennedy, E. (1993). A study of out-of-school suspensions and expulsions in Louisiana public schools. Report to the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. Baton Rouge, La.: Louisiana Department of Education.

### Formulas Used to Calculate Percent of Students Suspended, Expelled

### **School-level Aggregation**

$$Percent of Students Suspended = \frac{Number of Students Suspended}{Cumulative Enrollment} \times 100$$

$$Percent of Students Expelled = \frac{Number of Students Expelled}{Cumulative Enrollment} \times 100$$

### **District-level Aggregation**

Note: Due to school categorization, the numerator and denominator will vary. For example, Percent of Elementary Students Suspended = (Number of Elementary Students Suspended / Cumulative Elementary Student Enrollment) X 100.

# **Table 6a: Students Suspended and Expelled**

Elementary Schools

		1991-92		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996	5-97
		Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent 1	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
024003	Crescent Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	14.27	139
	Suspended (Out of School)	10.21	92	9.93	89	14.12	130	3.04	30	4.73	49	1.64	16
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.11	1	0.33	3	0.30	3	0.39	4	0.10	1
024005	Gay, Edward J., Elementary School												
	Suspended (Out of School)	9.46	49	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.39	2	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
024019	Dorseyville Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	31.95	169
	Suspended (Out of School)	8.61	52	6.69	41	7.76	45	8.36	46	7.28	41	12.29	65
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.49	3	0.00	0	0.73	4	0.71	4	1.89	10
024020	East Iberville Elementary School												
	Suspended (Out of School)	12.94	51	14.01	51	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
024022	Iberville Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	16.04	128
	Suspended (Out of School)	3.06	30	0.60	6	0.33	3	4.81	44	5.89	55	7.14	57
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.11	1	0.00	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because of reporting differences among districts, no state suspension or expulsion averages are shown prior to 1996-97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Effective with 1995-96 both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# **Table 6a: Students Suspended and Expelled**

Elementary Schools

	1991-92		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96 <sup>2</sup>		96 <sup>2</sup> 1996-	
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
District (Elementary Schools)												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	19.14	434
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	7.78	178	5.25	120	5.88	145	6.09	138
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	0.13	3	0.31	7	0.37	9	0.49	11
District (All Schools)												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	24.67	1,476
Suspended (Out of School)	14.49	836	16.30	893	17.22	951	10.43	607	11.28	688	13.69	819
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	0.35	20	0.68	37	0.83	46	1.17	68	1.43	87	1.54	92
State <sup>1</sup> (Elementary Schools)												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	2.17	8,584
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	4.26	16,806
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.01	34
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.08	311
State <sup>1</sup> (All Schools)												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	5.55	44,040
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	9.94	78,866
Expelled (In School)	~	~	?	~	?	~	?	~	2	~	0.07	542
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.44	3,454

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because of reporting differences among districts, no state suspension or expulsion averages are shown prior to 1996-97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Effective with 1995-96 both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# **Table 6b: Students Suspended and Expelled**

Middle/Jr. High Schools

	1991	1-92	1992-93				1994-95		1995-96 <sup>2</sup>		1996	5-97
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent N	Percent Number		Number	Percent Number		Percent	Number
024009 Plaquemine Junior High School												
Suspended (Out of School)	28.24	135	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
Expelled (Out of School)	1.67	8	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
024024 E.J. Gay Middle School												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	26.21	281
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	30.96	265	24.78	229	18.46	201	24.93	270	27.71	297
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	1.75	15	2.16	20	3.31	36	3.88	42	4.29	46
District (Middle/Jr. High Schools)					,		,					
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	26.21	281
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	25.53	229	19.98	201	24.93	270	27.71	297
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	2.23	20	3.58	36	3.88	42	4.29	46
District (All Schools)												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	24.67	1,476
Suspended (Out of School)	14.49	836	16.30	893	17.22	951	10.43	607	11.28	688	13.69	819
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	0.35	20	0.68	37	0.83	46	1.17	68	1.43	87	1.54	92
State <sup>1</sup> (Middle/ <u>Jr. High Schools)</u>												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	10.03	14,670
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	16.40	23,990
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.16	234
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.87	1,269
State <sup>1</sup> (All Schools)												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	5.55	44,040
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	9.94	78,866
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.07	542
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.44	3,454

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because of reporting differences among districts, no state suspension or expulsion averages are shown prior to 1996-97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Effective with 1995-96 both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# **Table 6c: Students Suspended and Expelled**

# High Schools

	199	1-92	1992-93		1993	<b>3-94</b>	1994-95		5 1995-96°		1996	5-97
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
024010 Plaquemine Senior High School							·				,	
Suspended (In School)	~	~	?	~	?	~	?	~	~	٧	25.40	221
Suspended (Out of School)	17.27	128	20.41	151	23.16	170	11.18	91	8.86	77	17.13	149
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	0.40	3	1.08	8	1.36	10	1.11	9	1.84	16	2.30	20
024017 White Castle High School												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	40.93	158
Suspended (Out of School)	30.66	111	35.12	118	34.23	115	13.12	45	5.35	19	10.36	40
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	0.55	2	1.79	6	2.98	10	2.62	9	1.41	5	2.33	9
024021 East Iberville High School												
Suspended (Out of School)	32.81	83	29.23	76	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
Expelled (Out of School)	0.79	2	0.00	0	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
District (High Schools)												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	30.18	379
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	27.43	285	12.27	136	7.90	96	14.97	188
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	1.92	20	1.62	18	1.73	21	2.31	29
District (All Schools)												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	24.67	1,476
Suspended (Out of School)	14.49	836	16.30	893	17.22	951	10.43	607	11.28	688	13.69	819
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	0.35	20	0.68	37	0.83	46	1.17	68	1.43	87	1.54	92
State <sup>1</sup> (High Schools)												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		19,412
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	15.72	35,175
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.11	254
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.80	1,797
State <sup>1</sup> (All Schools)	<b>_</b>				,							
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		44,040
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	9.94	78,866
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.07	542
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.44	3,454

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because of reporting differences among districts, no state suspension or expulsion averages are shown prior to 1996-97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Effective with 1995-96 both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# Table 6d: Students Suspended and Expelled

### Combination Schools

	1991	1-92	1992-93		1993	-94	1994-95		1995-96 <sup>2</sup>		1996	5-97
	Percent	Number	Percent 1	Number	Percent N	lumber	Percent Number		Percent Number		Percent	Number
024023 North Iberville Elementary/High School												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	24.09	192
Suspended (Out of School)	12.00	105	13.50	96	19.34	140	6.46	51	8.47	69	11.54	92
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	0.34	3	0.56	4	0.00	0	0.63	5	1.10	9	0.63	5
024025 East Iberville Elementary/High School												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	27.73	193
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	20.34	119	15.18	99	16.57	109	15.09	105
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	0.51	3	0.31	2	0.91	6	0.14	1
District (Combination Schools)												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	25.80	385
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	19.92	259	10.59	150	12.08	178	13.20	197
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	0.23	3	0.49	7	1.02	15	0.40	6
District (All Schools)												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	24.67	1,476
Suspended (Out of School)	14.49	836	16.30	893	17.22	951	10.43	607	11.28	688	13.69	819
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)	0.35	20	0.68	37	0.83	46	1.17	68	1.43	87	1.54	92
State <sup>1</sup> (Combination Schools)												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	3.50	1,464
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	7.88	3,296
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.05	20
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.21	87
State <sup>1</sup> (All Schools)												
Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	5.55	44,040
Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	9.94	78,866
Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.07	542
Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.44	3,454

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because of reporting differences among districts, no state suspension or expulsion averages are shown prior to 1996-97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Effective with 1995-96 both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# Part 4. Student Achievement

Criterion-referenced Test (CRT) Results4-	-1
Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results4-	-7

Educational tests such as criterion-referenced tests (CRTs) are, in one form or another, tests of academic achievement based on a pre-stated set of standards.

The CRTs administered in this state are part of the Louisiana Educational Assessment Program (LEAP) and are administered in April of each year to public school students at specified grade levels. For the secondary level, the CRT is the Graduation Exit Examination (GEE).

These tests are administered to all students with the exception of special education students whose educational program is Alternative to Regular Placement (ARP). The Progress Profiles Program reports scores for all students taking the tests. This reflects the same reporting format used by the LEAP.

In Louisiana, CRTs provide a measure of the extent to which students meet state-established, grade-level skill requirements in the following subject areas:

- Grades 3 and 5—Language Arts and Mathematics,
- Grade 7—Language Arts and Mathematics, and
- Secondary Level (GEE)—English Language Arts, Mathematics, Written Composition, Science, and Social Studies.

### **Organization**

Tables 7a - 7c provide CRT Results for Grades 3, 5, and 7, respectively, while Table 7d provides GEE test results for first-time GEE test takers. The tables present CRT results for each school in the district receiving a *Report Card*, with schools shown in school site code order. Also, comparison data are presented for the district and the state.

The tables reflect both the number of students taking the test and the percent of students who meet or exceed standards for the respective grade levels. Thus, the percent of students passing a specific test is the percent scoring at or above the performance standard that the state has set in that subject area.

### **Data Presentation:** School Report Cards

The 1996-97 School Report Cards present school, district, and state percent passing rates by grade level and subject area.

#### **Definition**

Criterion-referenced tests (CRTs)—tests that produce a score that tells how individuals/schools perform in achieving an established criteria; LEAP CRT results (as reported by *Progress Profiles*) show the number and percent of Louisiana students who meet or exceed state curriculum content standards.

#### **Data Source**

The CRT indicator is based on student-level data tapes provided to the LDE by National Computer Systems, test contractor for the Louisiana Educational Assessment Program (LEAP).

# Table 7a: Criterion-referenced Test (LEAP) Results - Grade 3

		199	1-92	1992	2-93	1993	3-94	1994	1-95	199	5-96 <sup>1</sup>	199	6-97
		Percent	Number	Percent	Number								
024003	Crescent Elementary School												
	Language Arts	95	106	84	88	93	88	96	95	89	100	87	87
	Mathematics	94	106	88	88	94	88	95	95	85	100	95	87
024019	Dorseyville Elementary School				ı			1					
	Language Arts	88	73	83	78	77	78	82	72	69	75	76	88
	Mathematics	85	73	73	78	67	78	81	72	73	73	73	86
024020	East Iberville Elementary School												
	Language Arts	95	44	90	50				~	~	~	~	~
	Mathematics	93	44	90	50	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
024022	Iberville Elementary School												
	Language Arts	90	203	85	191	92	166	82	182	89	196	82	164
	Mathematics	84	203	87	191	92	166	87	182	82	194	83	164
024023	North Iberville Elementary/High School												
	Language Arts	93	67	77	56	67	57	78	63	87	53	72	54
	Mathematics	87	67	77	56	67	57	73	63	79	53	81	54
024025	East Iberville Elementary/High School				1								
	Language Arts	~	~	~	~	95	41	90	48	83	42	83	53
	Mathematics	~	~	~	~	95	41	71	48	83	42	79	53
District													
	Language Arts	91	493	84	463	87	430	85	460	85	466	81	446
	Mathematics	88	493	84	463	85	430	84	460	81	462	83	444
State													
	Language Arts		58,145	91	56,847	91	57,518		55,985	90	,	91	56,926
	Mathematics	90	57,865	90	56,593	91	57,278	90	55,728	89	58,034	91	56,792

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable. ~ = Unavailable Data

# **Table 7b: Criterion-referenced Test (LEAP) Results - Grade 5**

		199	1-92	1992	2-93	1993	<b>3-94</b>	1994	<b>1-95</b>	199	<b>5-96</b> <sup>1</sup>	1990	<b>6-97</b>
		Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
024003	Crescent Elementary School												
	Language Arts	97	90	94	107	94	108	93	101	86	118	92	105
	Mathematics	93	90	93	107	94	108	97	99	91	116	94	105
024005	Gay, Edward J., Elementary School												
	Language Arts	97	145	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Mathematics	91	145	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
024019	Dorseyville Elementary School												
	Language Arts	95	64	85	65	84	64	91	43	72	65	89	61
	Mathematics	98	64	91	65	92	64	91	43	72	65	90	70
024020	East Iberville Elementary School												
	Language Arts	94	47	91	54	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Mathematics	79	47	87	54	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
024023	North Iberville Elementary/High School												
	Language Arts	89	44	70	43	77	66	80	46	61	51	77	57
	Mathematics	93	44	91	43	76	66	67	46	58	50	71	55
024024	E.J. Gay Middle School												
	Language Arts	~	~	93	147	97	162	90	167	92	188	85	155
	Mathematics	~	~	93	147	96	159	92	167	90	187	86	155
024025	East Iberville Elementary/High School												
	Language Arts	~	~	~	~	97	39	86	43	82	56	89	45
	Mathematics	~	~	~	~	97	39	86	43	84	56	71	45
District													
	Language Arts	95	390	89	416	91	439	89	400	83	478	87	423
	Mathematics	92	390	92	416	92	436	90	398	84	474	85	430
State													
	Language Arts	90	56,194	90	55,817	90	54,975	90	53,644	87	59,292	87	57,617
	Mathematics	90	56,114	90	55,725	91	54,885	91	53,564	89	59,183	89	57,637

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# Table 7c: Criterion-referenced Test (LEAP) Results - Grade 7

		1993	1-92	1992	2-93	1993	3-94	1994	1-95	1995	<b>5-96</b> <sup>1</sup>	1990	5-97
		Percent	Number	Percent	Number								
024003	Crescent Elementary School												
	Language Arts	96	99	89	100	100	97	100	99	98	111	93	89
	Mathematics	97	99	91	100	99	97	97	99	96	111	94	89
024009	Plaquemine Junior High School												
	Language Arts	96	119	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Mathematics	94	119	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
024017	White Castle High School												
	Language Arts	91	68	82	68	83	58	80	61	86	69	80	61
	Mathematics	82	68	63	68	56	57	68	60	79	70	78	60
024021	East Iberville High School												
	Language Arts	96	46	92	37	Closed		~	~	~	~	~	~
	Mathematics	96	46	97	37	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
024023	North Iberville Elementary/High School												
	Language Arts	85	39	86	50	92	26	91	43	83	71	77	48
	Mathematics	93	40	90	49	92	26	91	43	86	71	71	48
024024	E.J. Gay Middle School												
	Language Arts	~	~	94	131	95	140	93	133	96	157	89	146
	Mathematics	~	~	86	133	96	140	89	132	88	156	83	145
024025	East Iberville Elementary/High School												
	Language Arts	~	~	~	~	100	48	98	41	100	38	97	37
	Mathematics	~	~	~	~	100	48	93	41	97	38	97	37
District													
	Language Arts	94	371	89	386	95	369	93	377	93	446	88	381
	Mathematics	93	372	85	387	91	368	88	375	89	446	85	379
State													
	Language Arts	87	54,702	88	55,206	89	54,345	88	53,799	87	57,198	86	56,041
	Mathematics	83	54,440	83	55,018	83	54,272	82	53,599	79	56,798	80	55,823

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable. ~ = Unavailable Data

# **Table 7d: Graduate Exit Examination (GEE) Results**

		1993	1-92	1992	2-93	1993	<b>3-94</b>	1994	1-95	1995	5-96 <sup>1</sup>	1996	5-97
		Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
024010	Plaquemine Senior High School												
	Language Arts	96	157	98	139	97	145	95	127	96	150	93	136
	Mathematics	87	156	92	138	94	142	90	124	93	150	82	137
	Written Composition	69	155	97	139	92	145	98	125	98	149	96	134
	Science	93	110	88	138	97	116	95	120	93	114	94	139
	Social Studies	95	105	88	136	98	116	97	119	98	113	96	139
024017	White Castle High School												
	Language Arts	90	31	97	39	90	39	85	33	90	41	71	45
	Mathematics	84	31	95	39	79	39	79	33	95	41	80	45
	Written Composition	57	30	97	38	92	38	100	32	98	40	95	42
	Science	79	29	83	29	94	32	76	33	93	29	80	40
	Social Studies	90	29	93	29	97	31	91	32	79	29	90	39
024021	East Iberville High School												
	Language Arts	100	29	100	23	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Mathematics	93	29	100	22	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Written Composition	90	29	100	22	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Science	97	31	96	25	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Social Studies	94	31	96	25	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
024023	North Iberville Elementary/High School												
	Language Arts	89	18	97	36	93	44	84	32	85	41	77	39
	Mathematics	78	18	89	36	91	45	78	32	83	41	59	39
	Written Composition	59	17	86	35	87	45	97	32	84	38	95	37
	Science	83	24	78	23	94	34	95	38	63	32	76	34
	Social Studies	79	24	100	23	91	34	97	37	64	33	88	34
024025	East Iberville Elementary/High School												
	Language Arts	~	~	~	~	94	32	97	31	76	33	89	35
	Mathematics	~	~	~	~	97	32	90	31	88	32	71	35
	Written Composition	~	~	~	~	91	32	97	31	84	31	97	34
	Science	~	~	~	~	84	25	65	23	94	35	77	22
	Social Studies	~	~	~	~	76	25	92	24	97	35	91	22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# **Table 7d: Graduate Exit Examination (GEE) Results**

		1993	1-92	1992	2-93	1993	3-94	1994	<b>1-95</b>	1995	<b>5-96</b> <sup>1</sup>	1996	<b>5-97</b>
		Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
District													
	Language Arts	95	235	98	237	95	260	92	223	91	265	86	255
	Mathematics	86	234	93	235	92	258	87	220	91	264	77	256
	Written Composition	69	231	96	234	91	260	98	220	94	258	96	247
	Science	90	194	87	215	94	207	89	214	89	210	87	235
	Social Studies	92	189	91	213	94	206	95	212	90	210	93	234
State													
	Language Arts	90	40,524	91	41,775	89	41,673	88	43,743	86	45,492	84	45,342
	Mathematics	82	40,403	83	41,697	79	41,603	80	43,654	77	45,381	77	45,307
	Written Composition	84	39,266	91	40,947	90	40,806	95	42,663	93	44,283	93	43,983
	Science	87	35,387	86	35,820	90	37,264	85	36,977	82	39,927	82	40,423
	Social Studies	89	35,442	90	35,778	90	37,230	90	36,998	90	39,989	88	40,407

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable. ~ = Unavailable Data

The Louisiana Educational Assessment Program (LEAP) utilizes norm-referenced tests (NRTs) for national student comparisons with Louisiana students. From 1988 to 1992, Louisiana's NRT was the California Achievement Test (CAT), Form F; however, CAT/5 was adopted beginning with the 1992-93 school year with final administration having occurred in the spring of 1997.

Louisiana's NRT measures student performance in eight subject areas: reading, language, mathematics, word analysis, spelling, study skills, science, and social studies. A composite score (total battery) combining the individual subject area scores for reading, language, and mathematics is also computed for each student. The NRT data tables in the *School Report Cards* and *District Composite Reports* are based upon the total battery score.

These tests are administered to all students with the exception of special education students whose educational program is Alternative to Regular Placement (ARP). Scores are reported for all students not requiring modification to the standardization administration procedures. This reflects the same reporting format used by the LEAP.

### **Organization**

Tables 8a-8c present 1996-97 NRT results for Grades 4, 6, and 8, respectively. Test results are presented for all schools in the district receiving a *Report Card*, with schools listed in site code order. District, state, and national results are presented for comparison purposes.

Data are grouped as follows:

- Quarter 4—the percent of students who scored in the top 25% of students in the national norm group. If 32 of 100 students scored this high, Quarter 4 would read 32 percent.
- *Quarter 3*—the percent of students who scored between the 51st and the 75th national percentiles.
- *Quarter 2*—the percent of students who scored between the 26th and the 50th national percentile.
- Quarter 1—the percent of students who scored below the 26th national percentile.
- Median National Percentile Rank—"the point in a distribution of scores (ranked from the highest to lowest) at which half of the scores will fall above and half below." (Galfo, 1975, p. 114)

### Data Presentation: School Report Card

The 1996-97 School Report Cards present median national percentile ranks at the school, district, state, and national levels.

#### **Definition**

Norm-referenced tests (NRTs) —tests that produce a score that tells how schools/individuals perform in comparison with other schools/individuals; LEAP NRT results (as reported by the *Progress Profiles*) show how Louisiana schools perform when compared with the district, state, and nation.

#### **Data Source**

The NRT indicator is based on student-level data tape were provided to the Louisiana Department of Education by CTB/McMillan/McGraw-Hill, test contractor for the CAT/5.

#### References

Galfo, A. J. (1975). *Interpreting Educational Research*. Dubuque, Iowa: W. M. C. Brown Company Publishers.

# Table 8a: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 4

		1991-92	1992-93 <sup>1</sup>	1993-94	1994-95 <sup>2</sup>	1995-96 <sup>3</sup>	1996-97
024003	Crescent Elementary School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	27.6	28.4	28.0	22.6	28.3
	Third Quarter	~	27.6	29.5	20.4	17.4	16.2
	Second Quarter	~	25.5	33.0	35.5	28.7	27.3
	First Quarter	~	19.4	9.1	16.1	31.3	28.3
	Median Percentile Rank	~	53.3	55.6	49.7	43.3	47.0
024019	Dorseyville Elementary School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	10.1	6.5	6.7	9.6	6.8
	Third Quarter	~	8.7	11.3	14.7	23.1	20.5
	Second Quarter	~	44.9	37.1	33.3	44.2	36.4
	First Quarter	~	36.2	45.2	45.3	23.1	36.4
	Median Percentile Rank	~	30.8	30.0	29.5	34.4	37.7
024020	East Iberville Elementary School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	6.8		~	~	~
	Third Quarter	~	36.4	Closed	~	~	~
	Second Quarter	~	34.1	Closed	~	~	~
	First Quarter	~	22.7		~	~	~
	Median Percentile Rank	~	43.7	Closed	~	~	~
024023	North Iberville Elementary/High School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	2.9		1.8	11.5	9.8
	Third Quarter	~	11.8		9.1	13.5	17.6
	Second Quarter	~	51.5		27.3	19.2	19.6
	First Quarter	~	33.8		61.8	55.8	52.9
	Median Percentile Rank	~	33.3	34.8	21.0	21.5	25.0
024024	E.J. Gay Middle School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	20.4		16.5	15.7	15.4
	Third Quarter	~	32.7		33.5	20.8	19.8
	Second Quarter	~	28.1	35.5	31.2	37.7	37.7
	First Quarter	~	18.9		18.8	25.8	27.2
	Median Percentile Rank	~	51.8	42.0	50.3	41.8	40.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In 1992-93, the state NRT changed from the CAT/Form F to the CAT/5; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The state 1994-95 NRT average for grade 4 does not include Allen Parish.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# Table 8a: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 4

		1991-92	1992-93 <sup>1</sup>	1993-94	1994-95 <sup>2</sup>	1995-96 <sup>3</sup>	1996-97
024025	East Iberville Elementary/High School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	~	9.8	13.6	18.6	28.6
	Third Quarter	~	~	24.4	40.9	20.9	23.8
	Second Quarter	~	~	41.5	40.9	27.9	38.1
	First Quarter	~	~	24.4	4.5	32.6	9.5
	Median Percentile Rank	~	~	35.0	52.5	45.0	54.5
District							
	Fourth Quarter	~	16.6	15.2	15.1	16.6	18.3
	Third Quarter	~	25.5	23.6	25.2	19.2	19.1
	Second Quarter	~	33.9	36.0	33.0	32.8	32.7
	First Quarter	~	24.0	25.2	26.8	31.4	29.9
	Median Percentile Rank	~	44.4	40.9	41.7	40.1	40.9
State							
	Fourth Quarter	~	26.2			28.7	29.2
	Third Quarter	~	25.0			25.3	25.6
	Second Quarter	~	26.2		25.1	24.6	
	First Quarter	~	22.7	22.4	21.7	21.4	20.6
	Median Percentile Rank	~	51.4	52.4	53.2	53.9	54.5
Nation							
	Fourth Quarter	~	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	
	Third Quarter	~	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	
	Second Quarter	~	25.0			25.0	
	First Quarter	~	25.0		25.0	25.0	
	Median Percentile Rank	~	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In 1992-93, the state NRT changed from the CAT/Form F to the CAT/5; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The state 1994-95 NRT average for grade 4 does not include Allen Parish.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# Table 8b: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 6

		1991-92	1992-93 <sup>1</sup>	1993-94	1994-95 <sup>2</sup>	1995-96 <sup>3</sup>	1996-97
024003	Crescent Elementary School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	16.1	22.4	12.4	13.3	19.6
	Third Quarter	~	36.8	28.2	29.2	32.2	21.7
	Second Quarter	~	39.1	37.6	48.3	31.1	37.0
	First Quarter	~	8.0	11.8	10.1	23.3	21.7
	Median Percentile Rank	~	51.8	51.0	47.0	48.4	41.7
024019	Dorseyville Elementary School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	1.9		10.7	12.8	6.3
	Third Quarter	~	13.0		5.4	7.7	10.4
	Second Quarter	~	37.0		41.1	53.8	45.8
	First Quarter	~	48.1	28.6	42.9	25.6	37.5
	Median Percentile Rank	~	28.5	33.9	29.0	35.7	28.7
024020	East Iberville Elementary School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	7.7		~	~	~
	Third Quarter	~	17.9		~	~	~
	Second Quarter	~	48.7		~	~	~
	First Quarter	~	25.6	Closed	~	~	~
	Median Percentile Rank	~	41.3	Closed	~	~	~
024023	North Iberville Elementary/High School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	2.0		2.9	4.9	0.0
	Third Quarter	~	12.2	13.0	8.7	29.3	10.9
	Second Quarter	~	49.0		44.9	31.7	21.7
	First Quarter	~	36.7		43.5	34.1	67.4
	Median Percentile Rank	~	33.0	28.7	28.0	41.0	19.0
024024	E.J. Gay Middle School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	17.3		10.5	11.6	8.1
	Third Quarter	~	22.0		28.8	15.5	18.6
	Second Quarter	~	43.3		34.6	40.6	38.4
	First Quarter	~	17.3		26.1	32.3	34.9
	Median Percentile Rank	~	41.8	44.8	41.9	38.1	35.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In 1992-93, the state NRT changed from the CAT/Form F to the CAT/5; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The state 1994-95 NRT average for grade 4 does not include Allen Parish.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# Table 8b: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 6

		1991-92	<b>1992-93</b> <sup>1</sup>	1993-94	<b>1994-95</b> <sup>2</sup>	1995-96 <sup>3</sup>	1996-97
024025	East Iberville Elementary/High School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	~	14.0	8.1	6.5	4.1
	Third Quarter	~	~	18.0	16.2	19.4	18.4
	Second Quarter	~	~	52.0	48.6	41.9	38.8
	First Quarter	~	~	16.0	27.0	32.3	38.8
	Median Percentile Rank	~	~	43.0	40.7	41.3	30.3
District							
	Fourth Quarter	~	11.5	12.9	9.4	11.0	9.1
	Third Quarter	~	22.5	23.1	21.0	20.8	17.4
	Second Quarter	~	42.7	43.3	41.6	38.8	37.1
	First Quarter	~	23.3	20.7	28.0	29.5	36.4
	Median Percentile Rank	~	40.8	42.0	38.7	40.2	33.7
State							
	Fourth Quarter	~	18.4	19.1	19.9	20.3	20.8
	Third Quarter	~	23.0	23.6	24.0	23.9	24.6
	Second Quarter	~	31.2	30.3	29.4	29.6	29.3
	First Quarter	~	27.5	27.0	26.7	26.1	25.3
	Median Percentile Rank	~	43.3	44.7	45.4	45.8	46.7
Nation							
	Fourth Quarter	~	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
	Third Quarter	~	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
	Second Quarter	~	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
	First Quarter	~	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
	Median Percentile Rank	~	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In 1992-93, the state NRT changed from the CAT/Form F to the CAT/5; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The state 1994-95 NRT average for grade 4 does not include Allen Parish.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# Table 8c: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 8

		1991-92	<b>1992-93</b> <sup>1</sup>	1993-94	1994-95 <sup>2</sup>	1995-96 <sup>3</sup>	1996-97
024003	Crescent Elementary School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	21.2
	Third Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	35.3
	Second Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	35.3
	First Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	8.2
	Median Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	55.8
024017	White Castle High School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	11.3
	Third Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	17.0
	Second Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	49.1
	First Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	22.6
	Median Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	37.3
024023	North Iberville Elementary/High School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	1.5
	Third Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	16.4
	Second Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	46.3
	First Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	35.8
	Median Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	33.5
024024	E.J. Gay Middle School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	15.4
	Third Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	33.8
	Second Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	36.9
	First Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	13.8
	Median Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	50.3
024025	East Iberville Elementary/High School						
	Fourth Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	16.7
	Third Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	36.1
	Second Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	44.4
	First Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	2.8
	Median Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	51.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In 1992-93, the state NRT changed from the CAT/Form F to the CAT/5; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The state 1994-95 NRT average for grade 4 does not include Allen Parish.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# Table 8c: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 8

		1991-92	1992-93 <sup>1</sup>	1993-94	1994-95 <sup>2</sup>	1995-96 <sup>3</sup>	1996-97
District							
	Fourth Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	13.7
	Third Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	28.8
	Second Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	40.7
	First Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	16.7
	Median Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	45.8
State							
	Fourth Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	24.2
	Third Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	26.4
	Second Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	28.5
	First Quarter	~	~	~	~	~	20.8
	Median Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	51.0
Nation							
	Fourth Quarter	~	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
	Third Quarter	~	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
	Second Quarter	~	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
	First Quarter	~	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
	Median Percentile Rank	~	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In 1992-93, the state NRT changed from the CAT/Form F to the CAT/5; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  The state 1994-95 NRT average for grade 4 does not include Allen Parish.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

<sup>~ =</sup> Unavailable Data

# Part 5. College Readiness

American College Test (ACT) Results	. 5-	-1
First-Time Freshman Performance.	. 5-	-3

Scores on the American College Test (ACT) are widely used as an indicator of student preparedness for college. Most Louisiana public colleges and universities require that entering students take the ACT for admissions or placement purposes.

#### **Organization**

Table 9, American College Test (ACT) Results, presents average composite scores for graduating seniors for each school in the district receiving a *School Report Card*. Schools are shown in school site code order. Comparison data are presented for the district (public schools only), the state (public and nonpublic schools combined), and the nation (public and nonpublic schools combined).

The ACT results shown include test scores for 1) twelfth graders who took the test in the current year and 2) twelfth graders who took the test as eleventh graders and elected not to retake it as seniors. If a student took the test in both the eleventh and twelfth grades, only the twelfth grade score has been included.

### Data Presentation: School Report Card

A college readiness indicator that includes ACT information is presented on the 1996-97 School Report Cards of those schools that have a twelfth grade. The Report Cards present 1996-97 average ACT composite scores at the school, district, state, and national levels.

#### Method of Calculation

The ACT composite score is an average score based on the scores for the four ACT assessment tests (English, mathematics, reading, and science reasoning). The composite score, which ranges from 1 to 36, is a measure of the student's general educational development across these four subject areas.

#### **Data Source**

The ACT indicator is based on student-level data supplied to the LDE by the testing contractor, American College Testing.

#### References

Franklin, B.J., and Crone, L.J., (1993, April). *Louisiana Progress Profiles*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta, Ga.

LDE researchers have found the ACT performance of Louisiana students correlates highly with their performance on LEAP (CRT and NRT) tests. Further, those districts with the highest percentage of students taking the ACT have the highest ACT scores. This finding tends to dispute a widelyheld assumption that the higher the percentage of students taking the ACT, the lower the average score (Franklin and Crone, 1993).

# **Table 9: American College Test (ACT) Results**

# Average Composite Scores

	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97
024010 Plaquemine Senior High School	18.0	18.4	18.0	18.9	18.8	18.8
024017 White Castle High School	15.6	18.4	15.9	16.2	15.4	16.1
024021 East Iberville High School	17.6	18.5	Closed	~	~	~
024023 North Iberville Elementary/High School	17.8	15.5	17.0	16.2	16.0	16.7
024025 East Iberville Elementary/High School	~	~	16.5	17.9	16.1	16.2
District (Public)	17.5	18.0	17.4	18.0	17.6	17.8
State (Public and Nonpublic)	19.4	19.5	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4
Nation (Public and Nonpublic)	20.6	20.7	20.8	20.8	20.9	21.0

The number of freshmen who enroll in remedial courses during their first semester of college is one measure of the extent to which high school graduates are prepared for college.

Since 1987, the Louisiana Board of Regents has collected and reported information on the number of Louisiana high school graduates who enroll in Louisiana colleges and universities the following fall and enroll in remedial/developmental courses. The 1993 Legislature, believing that parents should have access to this information, enacted legislation mandating that this first-time college freshmen data be incorporated into the *Progress Profiles*.

### **Organization**

Table 10, First-time College Freshmen Performance, presents the number and percent of students who 1) graduated from *Report Card* schools and 2) enrolled as first-time freshmen during the following fall semester at any of the state's two- and four-year public and private universities. The table also reports the number and percent of first-time college freshmen who were enrolled in at least one remedial course during their first regular semester of college study.

### Data Presentation: School Report Card

The college readiness indicator that includes first-time college freshmen information is presented on the 1996-97 School Report Cards of schools that have a twelfth grade.

Note: The first-time college freshmen data reported on 1996-97 School Report Cards represent information on 1995-96 high school graduates.

#### **Definitions**

First-time college freshman—a student who graduates from high school during a given school year and is enrolled full time in a Louisiana higher education institution the following fall semester. A student must begin the fall semester with fewer than 12 hours of credit previously attempted (not including advanced placement credits and correspondence study) to be considered a first-time freshman.

### Formula Used to Calculate First-time College Freshmen Percentages

Percent of High School Graduates Who
Were First-time College Freshmen = Number of First-time College Freshmen
Total Number of High School Graduates X 100

Percent of First-time College Freshmen
Who Enrolled in a Remedial Course = Number of First-time College Freshmen
Who Enrolled in a Remedial Course
Total Number of First-time College
Freshmen

- *Graduate*—a student who successfully completes a BESE-approved education program, passes the Graduation Exit Examination (GEE), and thus earns a state-approved diploma. Students who earn GEDs are not included.
- Remedial course—a course designed by a university to
  prepare students to succeed academically in college-level
  courses. Remedial/developmental courses may be offered for
  college credit (i.e., they are taken into consideration in
  determining whether students are enrolled part time or full
  time) but do not carry degree credit.

#### **Method of Calculation**

The two formulas used in calculating the first-time college freshmen indicator are presented on the preceding page. The percent of high school graduates who become first-time college freshmen is calculated for public school graduates who attend in-state public colleges and universities.

#### **Data Sources**

The first-time college freshmen indicator is based on data submitted to the LDE by Louisiana public and private universities to LDE in compliance with La. R.S. 17:3912 (since repealed).

Table 10 First-time College Freshmen Performance

	199	1-92	1992	2-93	1993	<b>3-94</b>	1994	<b>1-95</b>	1995	5-96	1996	5-97
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
024010 Plaquemine Senior High School												
Number of High School Graduates <sup>1</sup>		~		121		114		136		136		134
HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	~	~	33.88	41	47.37	54	42.65	58	31.62	43	44.03	59
First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Course	s ~	~	63.41	26	64.81	35	48.28	28	32.56	14	42.37	25
024017 White Castle High School			-		_						-	
Number of High School Graduates <sup>1</sup>		~		29		27		25		47		35
HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	~	~	41.38	12	25.93	7	44.00	11	34.04	16	20.00	7
First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Course	s ~	~	66.67	8	57.14	4	36.36	4	62.50	10	42.86	3
024021 East Iberville High School			r				,				-	
Number of High School Graduates <sup>1</sup>		~		26		Closed		~		~		~
HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	~	~	11.54	3	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Course	s ~	~	33.33	1	Closed	Closed	~	~	~	~	~	~
024023 North Iberville Elementary/High School			Г				,				F	
Number of High School Graduates <sup>1</sup>		~		37		23		22		32		31
HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	~	~	13.51	5	34.78	8	40.91	9	40.63	13	45.16	14
First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Course	s ~	~	80.00	4	75.00	6	33.33	3	61.54	8	35.71	5
024025 East Iberville Elementary/High School			Г				,				F	
Number of High School Graduates <sup>1</sup>		~		~		~		26		32		40
HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	~	~	~	~	~	~	7.69	2	21.88	7	10.00	4
First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Course	s ~	~	~	~	~	~	100.00	2	42.86	3	50.00	2
District (Public)			Г				ı		1		F	
Number of High School Graduates <sup>1</sup>		~		213		164		209		247		240
HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	~	~	28.64	61	42.07	69	38.28	80	31.98	79	35.00	84
First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Course	s ~	~	63.93	39	65.22	45	46.25	37	44.30	35	41.66	35
State (Public)			Г		_		ı				F	
Number of High School Graduates <sup>1</sup>		~		33,593		33,772		34,937		36,275		36,407
HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	~	~	38.66			14,912		14,079	40.27			
First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Course	s ~	~	53.70	6,973	55.00	8,201	47.77	6,726	48.64	7,106	49.93	6,839

Represents graduates from the previous school year.
 Unavailable data

- aggregate days attendance—the sum of the total number of days that students are *present* at the school site over the course of the school year.
- aggregate days membership—the sum of the total number of days that students are *enrolled* (but not necessarily *present* at the school site) over the course of the school year.
- class—a grouping of children under the primary supervision and instruction of an individual teacher for all or part of the instructional day, as reported for purposes of the *Annual School Report* (ASR) and identifiable by a specific ASR course code.
- combination school category—any school whose grade structure falls within the range PK-12 and is not described by any of the other school category definitions. These schools generally contain some grades in the K-6 range and grades in the 9-12 range. Examples would include grade configurations such as K-12, K-3, 9-12, 4-6, and 9-12.
- criterion-referenced test (CRT)—tests that produce a score that tells how individuals/schools perform in achieving an established criterion; LEAP CRT results (as reported by *Progress Profiles*) show the number and percent of Louisiana students who meet or exceed state curriculum content standards.
- cumulative enrollment—the sum of all students enrolled in a school or district for at least one school day during the course of the school year, used as the denominator for calculating school- and district-level suspension and expulsion percents.
- day of attendance—a student is considered in attendance when he or she 1) is physically present at a school site or is participating in an authorized school activity and 2) is under the supervision of authorized personnel. This definition extends to students who are homebound, assigned to and participating in drug rehabilitation programs that contain a state-approved education component, or participating in school-authorized field trips.
  - "Students who meet the above criteria and are present at the school site for 26-50% of the student's instructional day shall be credited with a half day's attendance. Those who meet the above

- criteria and are present for at least 51% of the student's instructional day are credited with a whole day's attendance. Students who are not physically present or who are participating for 25% or less of their instructional day will be considered absent for reporting purposes. Absences, whether excused or unexcused, shall be counted as an absence for reporting to the Department." (Bulletin 741)
- dropout—the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) defines a dropout as "an individual who was enrolled in school at some time during the previous school year, was not enrolled at the beginning of the current school year, has not graduated from high school or completed an approved educational program, and does not meet any of the following exclusive conditions: transfer to another public school district, private school, or state- or district-approved education program; temporary absence due to suspension or school-approved illness; or death."
  - "For purposes of applying the dropout definition, the following definitions also apply:
  - 1. A school year is defined as the 12-month period of time beginning October 1 and ending September 30.
  - 2. A school completer is an individual who has graduated from high school or completed a state- or district-approved education program.
  - 3. A state or district approved program is one that leads to receipt of formal recognition from school authorities. It may include special education programs, home-based instruction, and school-sponsored secondary (but *NOT* adult) programs leading to a GED or some other certification differing from the regular diploma" (NCES, 1993).
- *elementary school category*—any school whose grade structure falls within the range PK-8 and excludes grades 9-12.
- faculty—school-based instructional personnel. In addition to full-time classroom teachers, these individuals include principals, assistant principals, guidance counselors, librarians, and other instructional staff (provided they teach at least one course).

- first-time college freshman—a student who graduates from high school during a given school year and is enrolled full time in a Louisiana higher education institution the following fall semester. A student must begin the fall semester with fewer than 12 hours credit previously attempted (not including advanced placement credits and correspondence study) to be considered a first-time freshman.
- graduate—a student who successfully completes a BESE-approved education program, passes the Graduation Exit Examination (GEE), and thus earns a state-approved diploma. Students who earn GEDs are not included.
- high school category—any school whose grade structure falls within the range 6-12 and includes grades 10-12, or any school that contains only grade 9.
- *in-school expulsion*—student is temporarily removed from his/her usual classroom placement to an alternative setting for a period of time specified by the LEA and no interruption of instructional services occurs.
- *in-school suspension*—student is temporarily removed from his/her usual classroom placement to an alternative setting for a minimum of one complete school day and no interruption of instructional services occurs.
- median national percentile rank—for the school, district, or state is determined by ordering all scores from high to low and selecting the middle rank. This shows the percentage of the national norm group that scored below the middle score.
- *middle/junior high category*—any school whose grade structure falls within the range 4-9, includes grades 7 or 8 and excludes grades, PK-3 and 10-12.
- norm-referenced test (NRT)—tests that produce a score that tells how individuals/schools perform in comparison with other individuals/schools; LEAP NRT results (as reported by *Progress Profiles*) show how Louisiana schools perform when compared with the district, state, and nation.

- October 1 membership—total number of students enrolled in a school on October 1, which is operationally defined by NCES as the first day of the academic school year.
- out-of-school expulsion—removal (exit) of a student from school for a determined number of days with no provision of instructional services.
- out-of-school suspension—student is temporarily prohibited from participation in his/her usual placement within school with no provision of instructional service; only suspensions resulting in removal for at least one full day are included.
- percent of student attendance—the ratio of aggregate days student attendance to aggregate days membership.
- remedial course—a course designed by a university to prepare students to succeed academically in college-level courses. Remedial/developmental courses may be offered for college credit (i.e., they are taken into consideration in determining whether students are part-time or full-time) but do not carry degree credit.